WETTING PHENOMENA IN MEMBRANE DISTILLATION FOR SEAWATER DESALINATION

Mohammad Rezaei

Institute of Process Engineering, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Strasse 69, 4040 Linz, Austria.

Marek Gryta

Faculty of Chemical Technology and Engineering, West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, ul. Pułaskiego 10, 70-322 Szczecin, Poland.

Muhammad R. Bilad

Chemical Engineering Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, 32610 Bandar Seri Iskandar, Perak, Malaysia.

Alba Ruiz-Aguirre

Plataforma Solar de Almería – CIEMAT, Ctra. de Senés s/n km. 4, 04200, Tabernas, Almería, Spain.

Hamid Fattahi Juybari

Birck Nanotechnology Center, School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. School of Materials and Advanced Processes Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran.

Jianhua Zhang

Institute for Sustainability and Innovation, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne, VIC 8001, Australia.

Lebea N. Nthunya

Department of Chemical, Metallurgical and Material Engineering, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag x680, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa.

Patrick Loulergue

Univ Rennes 1, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) – UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France.

Mark W. Hlawitschka

Institute of Process Engineering, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Strasse 69, 4040 Linz, Austria.

Keywords: seawater desalination, membrane distillation, wetting phenomena, scaling, fouling, wetting mechanism, wetting prevention, wetting control, wetting reversal

Contents

Introduction
Principles and Configurations of MD

1.2. Challenges in Membrane Distillation for Seawater Desalination

- 2. Wetting Phenomena in Membrane Distillation
- 2.1. Mechanism of Wetting
- 2.2. Wetting Characteristics
- 2.3. Methods of Wetting Detection
- 2.4. Effects of Operational Parameters on Wetting
- 2.5. Models of Wetting
- 3. Wetting Prevention and Control
- 3.1. Membrane Design Approach
- 3.2. In-Process Approach
- 3.3. Pilot and Industrial-Scale Considerations
- 3.4. Reversing Membrane Wetting
- 4. Conclusions and Perspectives

Glossary

Nomenclature

Bibliography

Biographical Sketches

Summary

Seawater desalination is a feasible option for diversifying a water-supply portfolio. Membrane-based technologies have been made particularly attractive by cost-effective and efficient subsurface feedwater supply processes and water treatment technologies developed to satisfy the globally increasing demand for water. Membrane distillation (MD) has emerged as a sustainable desalination technology when it utilizes low-grade waste heat, but membrane wetting is one of the main obstacles to its widespread industrial application. This chapter presents a broad review of wetting in the MD desalination process. Wetting characteristics and mechanisms, and detection methods are explained. Further, complex physical and chemical interactions between feed and membrane material during operation are highlighted. The dynamics of wetting and the effects of operational parameters are described in detail. The chapter concludes with two practical strategies for wetting control — membrane design and in-process approaches that are contrasted and an outlook on future developments.

1. Introduction

Desalination technology operates in three ways that involve pressure, electricity, or heat. Pressure desalination, or reverse osmosis (RO), is an important, energy-efficient desalination technology where pressurized seawater flows through a semi-permeable membrane to separate dissolved ions from seawater. In electrical desalination, an electric current de=ionizes the seawater. Finally, the oldest desalination technology is thermal desalination, where the water is purified by the phase change from liquid to vapor (Gilron, 2016). This requires more energy than pressure-based desalination and is, therefore, more costly.

Membrane distillation (MD) has emerged as a promising thermal desalination technology that uses a membrane contactor (Sirkar et al., 2017). The membrane separation process is thermally induced and has been known for more than six decades.

MD can be used as a brine-concentration technology when RO is not applicable due to an excessive osmotic pressure difference between the two sides of the membrane (Sanmartino et al., 2016). Some properties of MD make it a competitive technology in specific applications, including treatment of brine (TDS >70,000 ppm), removal of volatile organic compounds, water purification in the pharmaceutical, chemical, and textile industries, and food and beverages concentration (Alkhudhiri and Hilal, 2018).

Although MD is an attractive technology for desalination, it has some drawbacks. Central goals of research into MD desalination are increasing energy efficiency and reducing fouling and membrane wetting. Particularly in MD commercialization and research – but also in other MD application areas – membrane wetting is a crucial topic because it is related to the technology's long-term stability (Thomas et al., 2017).

Membrane wetting occurs when the feed solution penetrates the pores of hydrophobic membrane and affects permeate quality, flux, and process efficiency. Addressing this problem requires an accurate definition of membrane wetting and studies that characterize it and identify its causes (Rezaei et al., 2018).



Figure 1. Principle of membrane distillation

MD water desalination is considered a low-temperature operation, alternative to conventional separation technologies such as distillation for high-purity water production. It is a thermally driven process in which water-vapor pressure induced by the temperature difference across the porous hydrophobic membrane acts as the water

vapor transport force (Figure 1). The membranes in MD should allow passage of vapors only and retain non-volatile substances. Theoretically, the permeate quality is close to 100% free from salts (Mohamed Khayet, 2011). MD membranes are produced from hydrophobic polymers, such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), and polypropylene (PP), by facile phase separation, stretching and electrospinning of flat sheets, and extrusion of hollow fiber membranes to ensure their optimal performance. Interestingly, heat energy, such as solar energy, geothermal energy, and low-grade waste-heat energy, can be used in the MD process (Bourouni and Chaibi, 2005).

1.1. Principles and Configurations of MD

In MD, mass is transferred through a porous hydrophobic membrane. (Curcio and Drioli, 2005). To achieve a vapor-pressure gradient, several module configurations, such as direct-contact membrane distillation (DCMD), air-gap membrane distillation (AGMD), sweeping-gas membrane distillation (SGMD), and vacuum membrane distillation (VMD), are used (Figure 2). Various MD configurations have been evaluated extensively for desalination of seawater (Alkhudhiri et al., 2012; A. K. An et al., 2017; Bonyadi and Chung, 2007; Ying Chen et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2015; Munirasu et al., 2017). On the lab scale, these configurations have shown high salt rejection (99%) and water fluxes $(10 - 48 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m-2}\cdot\text{h-1})$ (Alkhudhiri et al., 2012; A. K. An et al., 2017; Bonyadi and Chung, 2007; Ying Chen et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2015; Munirasu et al., 2017; Nthunya, Gutierrez, Verliefde, et al., 2019; Nthunya, Gutierrez, Lapeire, et al., 2019). They have also been investigated to purify water sources contaminated with heavy metals and pharmaceutical and textile wastewaters (Criscuoli et al., 2008; ZW Ding et al., 2011; Zolotarev et al., 1994), and high separation efficiencies have been achieved.

In DCMD, the hot solution (feed) and cold water directly contact the membrane surfaces. Water vapor is transferred from the hot feed side to the cold permeate side, where it condenses. The vapor gradient across the membrane transfers the water vapor due to the vapor pressure difference (Tomaszewska, 2000). Unlike otherwise stated, DCMD is hereafter considered the default MD configuration. Although this configuration is known to be susceptible to heat loss, Lee et al. (2011) achieved a thermal efficiency of 0.73-0.87 by using a countercurrent cascade, thus significantly improving MD. In AGMD configuration, only the hot feed is in direct contact with one membrane surface. The total length of vapor diffusion is the sum of membrane thickness and air gap distance. Stagnant air is introduced between the membrane's hot surface and the condensation side. Water vapor passes through the air gap to the membrane's condensation compartment (Alsaadi et al., 2013). This configuration has been applied in several contexts, for instance, to remove toxic metals from water by using an alumina-modified electro-spun PVDF nanofiber membrane with a contact angle close to 150° (Bajáková et al., 2011; Zolotarev et al., 1994).

In SGMD configuration, an inert gas is used to sweep the vapor from the membrane's permeate compartment to the condensation compartment outside the membrane area. A mobile gas barrier prevents heat loss and facilitates mass transfer (M. Khayet et al., 2003). Onsekizoglu (2012) has summarized the principles and limitations of and

advances in SGMD membrane configurations, including process fundamentals, membrane characteristics, membrane materials, membrane modules, process parameters, flux enhancement, and transport mechanisms, and polarization phenomena.

In VMD configuration, a vacuum is created on the permeate side of the membrane. The water vapor is driven out of the membrane and condensed. In this configuration, heat loss is significantly minimized (Boukhriss et al., 2014). VMD has also been used in solar-energy-driven systems to recover water from polluted solutions (Khaled et al., 2017; J. Mericq et al., 2011).

Notably, in all configurations, the heated (feed or retentate) solution is in direct contact with the hydrophobic membrane. The hydrophobicity of the membrane allows water transfer in the vapor phase, while only the liquid phase of the water and non-volatile compounds is retained. For this reason, the volatile compounds are converted to the vapor state; then they diffuse through the membrane pores and are ultimately condensed and collected on the permeate/distillate side. The first MD patent was granted to Bodell in 1963, and the results achieved were first published in 1967.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the four different configurations commonly used in MD.

1.2. Challenges in Membrane Distillation for Seawater Desalination

Although MD is envisaged as a technology with great potential for seawater desalination, its performance is severely affected by two key factors: (i) wettability as a result of condensation of water vapor inside the pores of the membranes and (ii) fouling due to the accumulation of biofilm and organic, inorganic, and colloidal substances on the surface or in the internal pore structure of the membranes (Camacho et al., 2013). As previously stated, hydrophobic MD membranes should be used to prevent membrane wetting, but these are susceptible to fouling. Composite polymeric membranes with a hydrophilic support layer exhibit increased wettability, which affects water vapor diffusion through the membrane and compromises rejection efficiency (Manjula and Subramanian, 2006). As a result, numerous membrane modification studies have been

conducted to overcome the fouling and wettability challenges associated with MD membranes (Lim et al., 2009; Tijing et al., 2015). Although MD is a promising technology that has been widely tested on the laboratory scale, its industrial implementation has progressed at a slow pace.

2. Wetting Phenomena in Membrane Distillation

Membrane wetting involves complex physical and chemical interactions between feed and membrane material during operation (Alklaibi and Lior, 2005). Ideally, the nonwetting liquid forms an interface at the membrane pores due to the hydrophobicity of the membrane. As a result of capillary action and high surface tension, the liquid feed forms a convex meniscus that impedes penetration of liquid into the membrane pore. Therefore, the liquid feed in contact with the membrane bulges into the pore until the capillary pressure arising from the curved interface's surface tension balances the pressure drop caused by the partial pressures of vapors and air across the membrane. When this pressure balance is disturbed, membrane wetting occurs, and the membrane starts to lose its hydrophobicity and allows water bridging across the membrane thickness (Rezaei et al., 2018).

The fundamental cause of membrane wetting is a change in the conditions that result in the operating pressure exceeding the liquid entry pressure (LEP). The membrane resistance to pressure can be reduced by chemical and mechanical degradation, which typically occurs in long-term operation.

Membrane fouling is the primary cause of a decrease in LEP, which results in wetting. The LEP is defined theoretically as the minimum transmembrane pressure required for the feed solution to penetrate the largest pores. Fouling refers to the deposition of material on the membrane surface and in membrane pores (Camacho et al., 2013; Gryta, 2007; Hausmann et al., 2011; Tijing et al., 2015). Other causes of wetting include the presence of surfactants, which reduce the surface tension of the feed, capillary condensation, and membrane damage (Ge et al., 2014; J. G. Lee et al., 2018). A build-up of foulant may reduce the LEP by enlarging the pore mouth, damaging the membrane (Elena Guillen-Burrieza et al., 2013), and clogging the pores (Kharraz et al., 2015).

2.1. Mechanism of Wetting

Wetting in MD refers to liquid water permeation through membrane pores from the feed side to the permeate side. Membrane wetting decreases permeate quality by enabling the diffusion of salts or convective flow of feed to the permeate side. It is often less obvious in short-term experiments but has become the main issue in long-term MD operations (Marek Gryta, 2005a). Wettability of the MD membrane can be considered locally (from the pore perspective) and spatially (from the area perspective):

We can distinguish at least four stages of membrane wetting, as shown schematically in Figure 3. Initially, a membrane is (i) non-wetted. As time passes, (ii) the surface of a membrane becomes wetted in more and more places, and the feed floods the pores on the membrane surface (surface wetting); (iii) the pores in the wall are then wetted, and

some of the wetted pores form channels connecting distillate with feed (partially wetted). Eventually, (iv) most of the pores undergo wetting, and the MD process stops due to full wetting. The stages of membrane wetting as presented in Figure 3 are determined based on the changes occurring in the membrane or by appropriately interpreting the data continuously collected during the MD process, for instance, on permeate flux and distillate electrical conductivity.

Alternatively, the membrane can be viewed as having a heterogeneous degree of wetting: (a) A non-wetted membrane means no pore-wetting and thus maximum vapor transport, the highest flux, and complete salt rejection. A prolonged operation may result in (b) a surface-wetted membrane, where the gap for vapor transport is reduced, but feed water does not reach the permeate side. Surface wetting shifts the liquid/vapor interface inside the membrane pore and leads to a slight decrease in permeate flux due to temperature polarization, which lowers the temperature of the pore's evaporating interface (Gryta, 2016).



Figure 3. The stages of membrane wetting during the MD process. NW: non-wetted; SW: surface-wetted; PW: partially wetted; and W: wetted. $P_{\rm F}$ and $P_{\rm D}$: hydraulic pressure on the feed and distillate sides, respectively

Scaling due to super-saturation of the solute formed by solvent evaporation may occur inside the pores in the vicinity of the meniscus (Gryta, 2016). Further, crystal growth inside the pores accelerates scaling because it inhibits diffusive transport of solutes and solvent between wetted pores and the feed bulk, raising solute concentrations locally. When membrane pore size is widely distributed, (c) some of the pores can be thoroughly wet, which allows the feed water to permeate through them, while the vapor-transport gap decreases in other pores. In this case, the MD process can be continued if most pores are dry. However, partial wetting can either decrease the permeate flux due to a reduction in active surface area for mass transport (Karakulski and Gryta, 2005) or increase it due to wetting of some pores (i.e., vapor transport is overtaken by liquid transport) but result in low solute rejection (Noel Dow et al., 2017).

Interestingly, all hydrophobic membranes used in MD, such as PP, PTFE, and PVDF, have shown partial wettability in long-term use (Gryta, 2015). Any MD system treating

feed water without volatile components shows less than 100% rejection when experiencing partial wetting. Lastly, (d) full wetting of all pores in the membrane occurs when all membrane pores allow permeation of feed water, which significantly deteriorates permeate quality due to the penetration of contaminants. The membrane no longer acts as a barrier, which results in a convective flow of liquid water through the membrane pores, rendering the MD process ineffective (Rezaei et al., 2017). Note that, in an equipressure system (i.e., without trans-membrane pressure between the feed and the permeate side), low-rate salt transport via diffusion occurs. Significant loss of rejection is expected from the convective flow of the feed solution to the permeate side driven by pressure. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the occurrence of membrane wetting based on feed properties, operational conditions, system design, and membrane materials.

2.2. Wetting Characteristics

The easiest way to detect membrane wetting is by evaluating permeate quality, more specifically, conductivity. Theoretically, wetting-free MD results in complete salt rejection. When the membrane is wet, the solute can diffuse through the liquid along the membrane pore from the feed to the permeate side, which leads to an increase in permeate conductivity. This simple detection method is only valid when the feed does not contain any volatile component (e.g., ammonia or carbon dioxide), as this may also increase permeate conductivity (Warsinger, Servi, et al., 2017). In this case, more sophisticated methods are required to detect membrane wetting. Wetting can also be identified visually by a change in membrane opacity from opaque (dry membrane) to transparent (wet membrane) (Noel Dow et al., 2017; Jacob et al., 2019). Alternatively, wetting can be detected by a change in transmembrane pressure or by membrane autopsy.

As discussed earlier, the LEP is an accurate measure of wetting, and its value can be used as a direct method for predicting the likelihood of membrane wetting. Theoretically, the membrane should have an LEP > 0 to avoid instant wetting, but - in practice – it should be greater than the pressure applied for MD operation. The LEP is affected by the interfacial tension of the feed, the membrane contact angle, the membrane surface structure, and pore size (Rezaei and Samhaber, 2016). The LEP can be measured by two approaches: statically via bubble point (Smolders and Franken, 1989) or dynamically via the MD test. However, the latter has been abandoned because membrane compaction during the test affects measurement (Durham and Nguyen, 1994). A simple method for membrane characterization is measuring the contact angle between feed droplet and membrane surface (Eykens et al., 2017), which gives the relative wettability of a membrane by the liquid tested. If the contact angle of the feed water solution is $< 90^{\circ}$, instant wetting is expected. However, immediate nonwettability is seen as less relevant in predicting wetting during MD operation. The (static) contact angle between liquid droplet and membrane surface is measured by a goniometer as the angle between flat membrane surface and a line tangent to the drop's curved face at the point of three-phase contact (Onsekizoglu, 2012). The advancing water-contact angle is associated with membrane hydrophobicity, and the receding angle is related to the degree of molecular reorientation necessary to create a new equilibrium with the aqueous solution (Mohamed Khayet and Matsuura, 2004). The

contact angle is easy to measure, but it can show hysteresis and is influenced by the membrane's surface structure (roughness) (Adamson and Gast, 1997). Information on membrane properties (bubble point) combined with the contact angle allows the liquid entry pressure to be estimated; thus, no compounding effect of compaction affects the results. This method has been widely used in MD membrane development to evaluate wettability.

Less popular methods for membrane-wetting analysis involve penetrating drop concentration, sticking bubble, and temperature penetration. A comprehensive overview can be found elsewhere (Rezaei et al., 2018).

2.3. Methods of Wetting Detection

Feed solution can be contaminated with compounds that reduce surface tension (e.g., surfactants) and cause water penetration into the membrane pores. In such cases, wetting can be reduced by using a modified membrane. However, it cannot be universally non-wettable; So, the membrane must be modified according to the feed water properties. Two fast and straightforward pre-selection methods are the drop test and the buoyancy test (see Figure 4). In the former, the shape of the feed droplet on the membrane surface is observed. A membrane on which the droplet spreads or infiltrated by the droplet is not suitable for MD of the feed water. In the latter method, a piece of membrane is placed on the feed surface; if it does not sink, it is suitable for MD of the feed water used in the test (Ahmed et al., 2017).



Figure 4. Two approaches to determining a membrane's resistance to wetting: A) waterdrop test and B) buoyancy test. NW: non-wetted; W: wetted

Choosing the best membrane from those that have successfully passed these two tests requires further research, such as contact angle (CA) measurements. Membranes with a CA greater than 90° are assumed to be resistant to wetting by the feed water used. However, CA measurements relate only to a membrane's surface properties, while wetting usually depends on the entire wall cross-section properties. Hence, even if its CA value is slightly lower than 90°, a membrane may prove suitable for the MD of the feed water it was tested with. For example, polypropylene membranes, which exhibit a CA of 86° for de-mineralized water, are not wetted by this feed in continuous MD operation over several months (Marek Gryta, 2005b).

The efficiency of the MD process is determined by the vapor pressure at the feed/pores interface, which depends on feed temperature and concentration. Since polarization phenomena reduce this pressure, ensuring suitable hydrodynamic conditions in the module is vital. This requires a corresponding increase in the feed-flow velocity, which, however, also increases the hydraulic pressure. Consequently, the membrane must exhibit the highest possible LEP, which forces the liquid into the pores. Wetting also depends on pore size; a good LEP value above 0.1 MPa is usually obtained for membranes with a pore diameter below $0.2 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Although these tests help in selecting a suitable membrane, evaluating the resistance to wetting requires MD tests, preferably under conditions similar to those in an industrial installation. Due to scaling, fouling, and degradation of the membrane matrix, membrane pores start to fill with the feed during the MD process, even if a membrane with favorable CA and LEP has been selected. This can be counteracted by choosing an appropriate matrix material and membrane-surface morphology. Assessment of new membranes' effectiveness is complex because the wetting process may proceed very slowly. The majority of MD membranes resistant to wetting do not show significant changes during the first 100-200 hours of operation of the module, so in many cases, MD process tests must run for over 1000 hours (Gryta, 2005). The wetting detection methods depend on the module operating time and the degree of wetting present.

A typical course of changes in permeate flux and conductivity is shown in Figure 5. Initially (membrane in the non-wetted state), the flux is stable, and the distillate obtained has a low conductivity, usually at the level of 2-3 μ S/cm. Conductivity remaining constant while the permeate flux increases slightly is an indication of membrane-surface wetting. In this case, the flux increases because the thickness of the gas layer in the wall decreases, which reduces mass transport resistance. By advancing the wetting in the pores, the thickness of the gas layer reduces further, but at the same time, the resistance to heat transport from the feed to the evaporation surface increases, which lowers its temperature. As a result, the permeate flux starts to decrease systematically, but the distillate's purity does not deteriorate, as a gas layer still separates the feed. When distillate conductivity starts to increase gradually during the MD process (Figure 5, from 250 h), the partial-membrane-wetting stage has been reached.

The course shown in Figure 5 applies when the hydraulic pressure values on the feed and the distillate side are similar or when $P_D > P_F$. Otherwise, for $P_F > P_D$, when the partial wetting stage has been reached, feed leakage through the membrane will occur, which causes an increase in the amount of water obtained on the distillate side and a sharp increase in the specific conductivity of the distillate (Chamani et al., 2018).

An essential step in studying membrane wetting is to evaluate the effect of drying. To this end, the MD process is interrupted, and the membranes are thoroughly rinsed with distilled water and then dried (e.g., by blowing warm air through the module). If, after restarting the MD process, permeate flux increases significantly while distillate conductivity decreases (the case presented in Figure 5), the tested membranes were wetted in the MD process.



Figure 5. The course of changes in permeate flux and distillate conductivity as a result of progressive membrane wetting. D – membrane drying

Gas flux measurements of the membranes assembled in an MD module can be used to test the degree of surface wetting. In the case of a dry membrane, the gas flux increases linearly with increasing gas pressure. If the pores at the surface of the membrane are wet, they block the gas flow. The gas pressures used in these measurements are usually much lower than the LEP, so the gas cannot force the liquid out of the pores. If the measuring system is supplied with dry gas, the membrane surface dries out, enabling gas flow. As the measurements continue, the water evaporates from the pores consecutively, which increases the gas flow. In this case, the gas flux value obtained depends not only on the gas pressure but also on the degree of surface wetting and the duration of measurements (drying time). For this reason, and while maintaining repeatability of the measurement sequences, it is possible to demonstrate the effect of a particular period of MD module operation on the degree of membrane wetting, as shown in Figure 6.



Gas pressure (kPa)

Figure 6. Changes in gas flux indicating differences in the degree of wetting of the membrane surfaces

Other methods are relevant in the laboratory context and include measurement of permeate electroconductivity, transmembrane impedance (Chen et al., 2017), and optical transmittance (Jacob et al., 2019). The laboratory methods are also used to determine changes in the membrane wall but require membrane autopsy. One of the most frequently used techniques is scanning electron microscopy combined with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX). Preparation of a membrane sample for SEM testing completely removes water from the pores, but the dissolved salts remain and are deposited in the wetted pores. The SEM-EDX line analysis helps to detect salt components of surface pores and allows them to assess the fragments of the wetted wall during the MD. Some salts, such as NaCl and KCl, tend to crystallize at the edges of the pores. In this case, SEM observation of the dried membranes on the distillate side enables detection of the places where the pores have formed wetted channels through the membrane wall (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of membrane surface on the distillate side with NaCl crystals (composition confirmed by SEM-EDX analysis)

-

-

-

TO ACCESS ALL THE **51 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: <u>http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx</u>

Bibliography

Abdu, B., Munirasu, S., Kallem, P., Hasan, S. W., and Banat, F. (2020). Investigating the effect of various foulants on the performance of intrinsically superhydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride membranes for direct contact membrane distillation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 252, 117416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.117416 [A case study that provides a systematical investigation of the effect of organic, inorganic, and bio-foulant in membrane distillation].

Adam, N. K. (1941). Physics and Chemistry of Surfaces (3rd Edition). Oxford University Press.

Adamson, A. W., and Gast, A. P. C. N.-Q. . A. 1997. (1997). *Physical chemistry of surfaces* (6th ed). Wiley.

Ahlers, M., Buck-Emden, A., and Bart, H.-J. (2019). Is drop-wise condensation feasible? A review on surface modifications for continuous dropwise condensation and a profitability analysis. *Journal of Advanced Research*, 16(2019), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2018.11.004

Ahmed, F. E., Lalia, B. S., and Hashaikeh, R. (2017). Membrane-based detection of wetting phenomenon in direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.04.035

Al-Sharif, S., Albeirutty, M., Cipollina, A., and Micale, G. (2013). Modelling flow and heat transfer in spacer-filled membrane distillation channels using open source CFD code. *Desalination*, 311, 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.11.005

Ali, M. I., Summers, E. K., Arafat, H. A., and Lienhard, J. H. (2012). Effects of membrane properties on water production cost in small scale membrane distillation systems. *Desalination*, 306, 60–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.07.043

Alkhudhiri, A., Darwish, N., and Hilal, N. (2012). Membrane distillation: A comprehensive review. *Desalination*, 287, 2–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.08.027 [A review paper can provide more detail about membrane characteristics, heat and mass transfer in the membrane, fouling, and operating condition for the reader to catch more information].

Alkhudhiri, A., Darwish, N., and Hilal, N. (2013). Treatment of saline solutions using Air Gap Membrane Distillation: Experimental study. *Desalination*, 323, 2–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.09.010

Alkhudhiri, A., and Hilal, N. (2018). Membrane distillation-Principles, applications, configurations, design, and implementation. In *Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Desalination Handbook* (pp. 55–106). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815818-0.00003-5

Alklaibi, A. M., and Lior, N. (2005). Membrane-distillation desalination: Status and potential. *Desalination*, 171(2), 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2004.03.024 [This paper described the areas that membrane distillation could be commercially employed].

Alsaadi, A. S., Ghaffour, N., Li, J.-D., Gray, S., Francis, L., Maab, H., and Amy, G. L. (2013). Modeling of air-gap membrane distillation process: A theoretical and experimental study. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 445(0), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.05.049

An, A. K., Lee, E. J., Guo, J., Jeong, S., Lee, J. G., and Ghaffour, N. (2017). Enhanced vapor transport in membrane distillation via functionalized carbon nanotubes anchored into electrospun nanofibres. *Scientific Reports*, 7(September 2016), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41562

An, X., Liu, Z., and Hu, Y. (2018). Amphiphobic surface modification of electrospun nanofibrous membranes for anti-wetting performance in membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 432 (December 2017), 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.12.063

Anqi, A. E., Usta, M., Krysko, R., Lee, J.-G., Ghaffour, N., and Oztekin, A. (2020). Numerical study of desalination by vacuum membrane distillation – Transient three-dimensional analysis. Journal of Membrane Science, 596 (October 2019), 117609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117609

Antony, A., Low, J. H., Gray, S., Childress, A. E., Le-Clech, P., and Leslie, G. (2011). Scale formation and control in high pressure membrane water treatment systems: A review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 383(1–2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.08.054

Anwar, N., and Rahaman, M. S. (2020). Membrane Processes for Water Recovery from Pre-treated Brewery Wastewater: Performance and Fouling. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 117420.

Atchariyawut, S., Feng, C., Wang, R., Jiraratananon, R., and Liang, D. T. (2006). Effect of membrane structure on mass-transfer in the membrane gas-liquid contacting process using microporous PVDF hollow fibers. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 285(1–2), 272–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2006.08.029

Bajáková, J., Chaloupek, J., LukáŠ, D., and Lacarin, M. (2011). "Drawing" - Drawing' - the production of individual nanofibers by experimental method. International Conference on Nanomaterials - Research and Application, 9, 21–23.

Bonyadi, S., and Chung, T. S. (2007). Flux enhancement in membrane distillation by fabrication of dual layer hydrophilic–hydrophobic hollow fiber membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 306(1–2), 134–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2007.08.034

Boo, C., Hong, S., and Elimelech, M. (2018). Relating Organic Fouling in Membrane Distillation to Intermolecular Adhesion Forces and Interfacial Surface Energies. *Environmental Science and Technology*, acs.est.8b05768. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05768 [This studies the mechanisms of fouling in membrane distillation and shows the consequence of foulant type and surface chemistry of membrane].

Boukhriss, M., Zhani, K., and Bacha, H. Ben. (2014). State Of The Art of Various Configurations of the Membrane Distillation Unit for Distilling The Seawater. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 4(5), 340–357.

Bourouni, K., and Chaibi, M. T. (2005). Application of geothermal energy for brackish water desalination in the South of Tunisia. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2005, 1–6.

Busscher, H. J., van Pelt, A. W. J., de Boer, P., de Jong, H. P., and Arends, J. (1984). The effect of surface roughening of polymers on measured contact angles of liquids. *Colloids and Surfaces*, 9(4), 319–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6622(84)80175-4

Camacho, L., Dumée, L., Zhang, J., Li, J., Duke, M., Gomez, J., and Gray, S. (2013). Advances in Membrane Distillation for Water Desalination and Purification Applications. *Water*, 5(1), 94–196. https://doi.org/10.3390/w5010094

Cassie, A. B. D., and Baxter, S. (1944). Wettability of porous surfaces. *Transactions of the Faraday Society*, 40, 546. https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9444000546 [This presents the most important approaches about surface characteristics on wettability].

Chamani, H., Matsuura, T., Rana, D., and Lan, C. Q. (2018). Modeling of Pore Wetting in Vacuum Membrane Distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.11.018 [This presents a model for pore wetting at vacuum membrane distillation and provides a better knowledge of wetting phenomena].

Chamani, H., Yazgan-Birgi, P., Matsuura, T., Rana, D., Hassan Ali, M. I., Arafat, H. A., and Lan, C. Q. (2020). CFD-based genetic programming model for liquid entry pressure estimation of hydrophobic membranes. *Desalination*, 476(October 2019), 114231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.114231

Chang, H., Hsu, J.-A., Chang, C.-L., Ho, C.-D., and Cheng, T.-W. (2017). Simulation study of transfer characteristics for spacer-filled membrane distillation desalination modules. *Applied Energy*, 185, 2045–2057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.12.030

Chen, Gang, Tan, L., Xie, M., Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Tan, W., and Huang, M. (2020). Direct contact membrane distillation of refining waste stream from precious metal recovery: Chemistry of silica and chromium (III) in membrane scaling. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 598, 117803. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117803 [This paper studies resources recovery from wastewater by MD].

Chen, Guizi, Yang, X., Wang, R., and Fane, A. G. (2013). Performance enhancement and scaling control with gas bubbling in direct contact membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 308, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.07.018 [This presents a novel approach to reduce the scaling and wetting on the membrane].

Chen, Ying, Tian, M., Li, X., Wang, Y., An, A. K., Fang, J., and He, T. (2017). Anti-wetting behavior of negatively charged superhydrophobic PVDF membranes in direct contact membrane distillation of emulsified wastewaters. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.04.040

Chen, Yuanmiaoliang, Lu, K. J., and Chung, T.-S. (2020a). An omniphobic slippery membrane with simultaneous anti-wetting and anti-scaling properties for robust membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 595, 117572.

Chen, Yuanmiaoliang, Lu, K. J., and Chung, T. S. (2020b). An omniphobic slippery membrane with simultaneous anti-wetting and anti-scaling properties for robust membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117572

Chen, Yuanmiaoliang, Wang, Z., Jennings, G. K., and Lin, S. (2017). Probing Pore Wetting in Membrane Distillation Using Impedance: Early Detection and Mechanism of Surfactant-Induced Wetting. *Environmental Science and Technology Letters*, 4(11), 505–510. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00372 [This introduces a new and simple approach to monitor the dynamics of pore wetting in the membrane].

Cheng, D., Zhang, J., Li, N., Ng, D., Gray, S. R., and Xie, Z. (2018). Antiwettability and Performance Stability of a Composite Hydrophobic/Hydrophilic Dual-Layer Membrane in Wastewater Treatment by Membrane Distillation. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57(28), 9313–9322. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b02027 [this paper researched anti-wetting performance of a dual layer membrane in MD application].

Chew, N. G. P., Zhang, Y., Goh, K., Ho, J. S., Xu, R., and Wang, R. (2019). Hierarchically-Structured Janus Membrane Surfaces for Enhanced Membrane Distillation Performance. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, acsami.9b05967. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b05967

Chew, N. G. P., Zhao, S., Malde, C., and Wang, R. (2017). Superoleophobic surface modification for robust membrane distillation performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 541(July), 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.06.089

Cho, H., Choi, Y., and Lee, S. (2018). Effect of pretreatment and operating conditions on the performance of membrane distillation for the treatment of shale gas wastewater. *Desalination*, 437(March), 195–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.03.009 [This study compares complete pretreatment lines for MD of complex wastewaters].

Choudhury, M. R., Anwar, N., Jassby, D., and Rahaman, M. S. (2019). Fouling and wetting in the membrane distillation driven wastewater reclamation process – A review. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 269, 370–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2019.04.008

Chunrui, W., Yue, J., Huayan, C., Xuan, W., Qijun, G., and Xiaolong, L. (2011). Study on air-bubbling strengthened membrane distillation process. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 34(1–3), 2–5. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2011.2785

Criscuoli, A., and Drioli, E. (2020). Date juice concentration by vacuum membrane distillation. *Separation* and *Purification Technology*, 251(February), 117301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.117301

Criscuoli, A., Zhong, J., Figoli, A., Carnevale, M. C., Huang, R., and Drioli, E. (2008). Treatment of dye solutions by vacuum membrane distillation. *Water Research*, 42(20), 5031–5037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.09.014

Curcio, E., and Drioli, E. (2005). Membrane distillation and related operations—A review. *Separation and Purification Reviews*, 34, 35–86. https://doi.org/10.1081/SPM-200054951

Curcio, E., Ji, X., Di Profio, G., Sulaiman, A. O., Fontananova, E., and Drioli, E. (2010). Membrane distillation operated at high seawater concentration factors: Role of the membrane on CaCO3 scaling in presence of humic acid. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 346(2), 263–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.09.044

Deka, B. J., Guo, J., Khanzada, N. K., and An, A. K. (2019). Omniphobic re-entrant PVDF membrane with ZnO nanoparticles composite for desalination of low surface tension oily seawater. *Water Research*, 165, 114982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114982

Deshmukh, A., and Elimelech, M. (2017). Understanding the impact of membrane properties and transport phenomena on the energetic performance of membrane distillation desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 539, 458–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.05.017

Deshmukh, A., and Lee, J. (2019). Membrane desalination performance governed by molecular reflection at the liquid-vapor interface. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 140, 1006–1022. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2019.06.044

Ding, Zhongwei, Liu, L., Yu, J., Ma, R., and Yang, Z. (2008). Concentrating the extract of traditional Chinese medicine by direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 310(1), 539–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2007.11.036 [This study introduces air bubbling and gas backwashing for fouling mitigation in membrane distillation].

Ding, ZW, Liu, L., Liu, Z., and Ma, R. (2011). The use of intermittent gas bubbling to control membrane fouling in concentrating TCM extract by membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 372(1–2), 172–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.01.063

Dong, Z.-Q., Ma, X.-H., Xu, Z.-L., and Gu, Z.-Y. (2015). Superhydrophobic modification of PVDF–SiO2 electrospun nanofiber membranes for vacuum membrane distillation. *RSC Advances*, 5, 67962–67970. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA10575G

Dow, Noel, Villalobos García, J., Niadoo, L., Milne, N., Zhang, J., Gray, S., and Duke, M. (2017). Demonstration of membrane distillation on textile waste water: assessment of long term performance, membrane cleaning and waste heat integration. *Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology*, 3(3), 433–449. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00290K [This paper researched pilot scale MD in textile wastewater treatments].

Dow, Noel, Zhang, J., Duke, M., Li, J.-D., Gray, S., and Ostarcevic, E. (2008). Membrane distillation of brine wastes. Research report.Cooperative Research Centre for Water Quality and Treatment. no. 63. Water Quality Research Australia. [This paper studied treatment of RO concentrate by MD].

Drioli, E., Ali, A., and Macedonio, F. (2015). Membrane distillation: Recent developments and perspectives. *Desalination*, 356, 56–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.10.028

Duong, H. C., Duke, M., Gray, S., Cooper, P., and Nghiem, L. D. (2016). Membrane scaling and prevention techniques during seawater desalination by air gap membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 397, 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.06.025 [This is a case study about Membrane scaling and mitigation techniques].

Durham, R. J., and Nguyen, M. H. (1994). Hydrophobic membrane evaluation and cleaning for osmotic distillation of tomato puree. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 87(1–2), 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-7388(93)E0142-7

Eykens, L., De Sitter, K., Dotremont, C., De Schepper, W., Pinoy, L., and Van Der Bruggen, B. (2017). Wetting Resistance of Commercial Membrane Distillation Membranes in Waste Streams Containing Surfactants and Oil. *Applied Sciences*, 7(2), 118. https://doi.org/10.3390/app7020118

Eykens, L., De Sitter, K., Dotremont, C., Pinoy, L., and Van Der Bruggen, B. (2016). How to Optimize the Membrane Properties for Membrane Distillation: A Review. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 55(35), 9333–9343. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.6b02226 [This is a comprehensive review and a guideline for developing and optimizing the membranes].

Fan, H., Gao, A., Zhang, G., Zhao, S., Cui, J., and Yan, Y. (2020). A facile strategy towards developing amphiphobic polysulfone membrane with double Re-entrant structure for membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 117933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.117933

Fowkes, F. M. (1964). Attractive forces at interfaces. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 56(12), 40–52. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50660a008

Franken, A. C. M., Nolten, J. A. M., Mulder, M. H. V., Bargeman, D., and Smolders, C. A. (1987). Wetting criteria for the applicability of membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 33(3), 315–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(00)80288-4

Ge, J., Peng, Y., Li, Z., Chen, P., and Wang, S. (2014). Membrane fouling and wetting in a DCMD process for RO brine concentration. *Desalination*, 344, 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.03.017

Gilron, J. (2016). Chapter 12 - Brine Treatment and High Recovery Desalination. In N. P. Hankins and R. Singh (Eds.), *Emerging Membrane Technology for Sustainable Water Treatment* (pp. 297–324). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63312-5.00012-7

Gryta, M. (2001). Purification of oily wastewater by hybrid UF/MD. *Water Research*, 35(15), 3665–3669. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00083-5

Gryta, Marek. (2005). Long-term performance of membrane distillation process. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 265(1–2), 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2005.04.049 [This is a case study reporting on the long-term performance of hydrophobic polypropylene membranes at the membrane distillation process].

Gryta, Marek. (2007a). Influence of polypropylene membrane surface porosity on the performance of membrane distillation process. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 287(1), 67–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2006.10.011

Gryta, Marek. (2007b). Effect of iron oxides scaling on the MD process performance. *Desalination*, 216(1-3), 88–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.01.002

Gryta, Marek. (2008). Fouling in direct contact membrane distillation process. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 325(1), 383–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.08.001

Gryta, Marek. (2012). Polyphosphates used for membrane scaling inhibition during water desalination by membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 285, 170–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.09.051

Gryta, Marek. (2015). Water desalination using membrane distillation with acidic stabilization of scaling layer thickness. *Desalination*, 365, 160–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.02.031

Gryta, Marek. (2016). The Application of Membrane Distillation for Broth Separation in Membrane Bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*, 2, 193–200.

Gryta, Marek. (2020). Mitigation of Membrane Wetting by Applying a Low Temperature Membrane Distillation. Membranes, 10(7), 158. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10070158

Guillen-Burrieza, E., Mavukkandy, M. O., Bilad, M. R., and Arafat, H. A. (2016). Understanding wetting phenomena in membrane distillation and how operational parameters can affect it. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 515, 163–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.05.051 [This presents a new wetting hypothesis and illustrates the salt passage mechanism].

Han, L., Tan, Y. Z., Netke, T., Fane, A. G., and Chew, J. W. (2017). Understanding oily wastewater treatment via membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 539(June), 284–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.06.012

Han, M., Dong, T., Hou, D., Yao, J., and Han, L. (2020). Carbon nanotube based Janus composite membrane of oil fouling resistance for direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 607(March), 118078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118078

Hansmann, H. (1993). Plasmavorbehandlung problematischer Kunststoff-Oberflächen für die Lackierung. *Materialwissenschaft Und Werkstofftechnik*, 24(2), 49–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/mawe.19930240212

Hausmann, A., Sanciolo, P., Vasiljevic, T., Ponnampalam, E., Quispe-Chavez, N., Weeks, M., and Duke, M. (2011). Direct contact membrane distillation of dairy process streams. *Membranes*, 1(1), 48–58. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes1010048

He, F., Gilron, J., and Sirkar, K. K. (2013). High water recovery in direct contact membrane distillation using a series of cascades. Desalination, 323, 48–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.08.006

He, F., Sirkar, K. K., and Gilron, J. (2009a). Studies on scaling of membranes in desalination by direct contact membrane distillation: CaCO3 and mixed CaCO3/CaSO4 systems. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64(8), 1844–1859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2008.12.036 [This paper introduces scaling behaviors in desalination by DCMD].

He, F., Sirkar, K. K., and Gilron, J. (2009b). Effects of antiscalants to mitigate membrane scaling by direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 345(1–2), 53–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.08.021

Hickenbottom, K. L., and Cath, T. Y. (2014). Sustainable operation of membrane distillation for enhancement of mineral recovery from hypersaline solutions. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 454, 426–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.12.043

Hitsov, I., Maere, T., De Sitter, K., Dotremont, C., and Nopens, I. (2015). Modelling approaches in membrane distillation: A critical review. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 142, 48–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.12.026

Hou, D., Christie, K. S. S., Wang, K., Tang, M., Wang, D., and Wang, J. (2020). Biomimetic superhydrophobic membrane for membrane distillation with robust wetting and fouling resistance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 599, 117708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117708 [This paper studies prevent membrane wetting by membrane modification].

Hou, D., Ding, C., Fu, C., Wang, D., Zhao, C., and Wang, J. (2019). Electrospun nanofibrous omniphobic membrane for anti-surfactant-wetting membrane distillation desalination. *Desalination*, 468(August 2018), 114068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.07.008

Hou, D., Lin, D., Zhao, C., Wang, J., and Fu, C. (2017). Control of protein (BSA) fouling by ultrasonic irradiation during membrane distillation process. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 175, 287–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.11.047

Hou, D., Wang, Z., Li, G., Fan, H., Wang, J., and Huang, H. (2015). Ultrasonic assisted direct contact membrane distillation hybrid process for membrane scaling mitigation. *Desalination*, 375, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.07.018

Hsu, S. T., Cheng, K. T., and Chiou, J. S. (2002). Seawater desalination by direct contact membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 143(3), 279–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(02)00266-7 [This paper studies membrane fouling during seawater desalination by MD].

Huang, Y.-X., Wang, Z., Hou, D., and Lin, S. (2017). Coaxially Electrospun Super-amphiphobic Silicabased Membrane for Anti-surfactant-wetting Membrane Distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.02.044

Huang, Y. X., Wang, Z., Jin, J., and Lin, S. (2017). Novel Janus Membrane for Membrane Distillation with Simultaneous Fouling and Wetting Resistance. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51(22), 13304–13310. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02848

Hull, E. J., and Zodrow, K. R. (2017). Acid Rock Drainage Treatment Using Membrane Distillation: Impacts of Chemical-Free Pretreatment on Scale Formation, Pore Wetting, and Product Water Quality. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51(20), 11928–11934. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02957

Husnain, T., Liu, Y., Riffat, R., and Mi, B. (2015). Integration of forward osmosis and membrane distillation for sustainable wastewater reuse. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 156, 424–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.10.031

Hüttinger, K. J., and Bauer, F. (1982). Benetzung und Stoffaustausch in Filmkolonnen. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik*, 54(5), 449–460. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.330540508

Ikehata, K., and El-Din, M. G. (2004). Degradation of recalcitrant surfactants in wastewater by ozonation and advanced oxidation processes: a review. *Ozone: Science and Engineering*, 26(4), 327–343. [This paper introduced methods to pretreat surfactants in the wastewater].

Islam, M. S., Touati, K., and Rahaman, M. S. (2019). Feasibility of a hybrid membrane-based process (MF-FO-MD) for fracking wastewater treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 229, 115802. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.115802

Jacob, P., Dejean, B., Labori, S., and Cabassud, C. (2019). An optical in-situ tool for visualizing and understanding wetting dynamics in membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 117587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117587 [This describes a new optical tool to detect in-situ wetting in membrane distillation].

Jansen, A. E., Assink, J. W., Hanemaaijer, J. H., van Medevoort, J., and van Sonsbeek, E. (2013). Development and pilot testing of full-scale membrane distillation modules for deployment of waste heat. *Desalination*, 323, 55–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.11.030

Jha, S., Bhowmik, S., Bhatnagar, N., Bhattacharya, N. K., Deka, U., Iqbal, H. M. S., and Benedictus, R. (2010). Experimental investigation into the effect of adhesion properties of PEEK modified by atmospheric pressure plasma and low pressure plasma. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 118(1), 173–179. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.31880

Karakulski, K., and Gryta, M. (2005). Water demineralisation by NF/MD integrated processes. *Desalination*, 177(1-3), 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2004.11.018

Karakulski, K., Gryta, M., and Morawski, A. (2002). Membrane processes used for potable water quality improvement. *Desalination*, 145(1–3), 315–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(02)00429-0

Kayvani Fard, A., Rhadfi, T., Khraisheh, M., Atieh, M. A., Khraisheh, M., and Hilal, N. (2016). Reducing flux decline and fouling of direct contact membrane distillation by utilizing thermal brine from MSF desalination plant. *Desalination*, 379, 172–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.11.004

Ketrane, R., Saidant, R., Gil, O., Leleyter, L., and Baraud, F. (2009). Efficiency of five scale inhibitors on calcium carbonate precipitation from hard water: effect of temperature and concentration. *Desalination*, 249(3), 1397–1404.

Khaled, F., Chaouachi, B., and Hidouri, K. (2017). Study of vacuum membrane distillation coupled with solar energy. International Conference on Green Energy and Conversion Systems, GECS 2017, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/GECS.2017.8066220

Khan, A. A., Siyal, M. I., Lee, C.-K., Park, C., and Kim, J.-O. (2019). Hybrid organic-inorganic functionalized polyethersulfone membrane for hyper-saline feed with humic acid in direct contact membrane distillation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 210(July 2018), 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.07.087

Kharraz, J. A., and An, A. K. (2020). Patterned superhydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes for membrane distillation: Enhanced flux with improved fouling and wetting resistance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 595, 117596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117596

Kharraz, J. A., Bilad, M. R., and Arafat, H. A. (2015). Flux stabilization in membrane distillation desalination of seawater and brine using corrugated PVDF membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 495, 404–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.08.039

Khayet, M., Gordino, M. P., and Mengual, J. I. (2003). Theoretical and experimental studies on desalination using membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 157, 297–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(03)00409-0

Khayet, Mohamed. (2011). Membranes and theoretical modeling of membrane distillation: A review. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 164(1–2), 56–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2010.09.005

Khayet, Mohamed, and Matsuura, T. (2004). Pervaporation and vacuum membrane distillation processes: Modeling and experiments. *AIChE Journal*, 50(8), 1697–1712. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.10161

Kim, B.-S., and Harriott, P. (1987). Critical entry pressure for liquids in hydrophobic membranes. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 115(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(87)90002-6 [This presents the first model of the membrane as a square array of intersecting cylindrical fibers].

Kim, B., Choi, Y., Choi, J., Shin, Y., and Lee, S. (2020). Effect of surfactant on wetting due to fouling in membrane distillation membrane: Application of response surface methodology (RSM) and artificial neural networks (ANN). *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 37(1), 1–10. [This paper researches on the fouling associated wetting and predicted the timeframe that wetting occurs by RSM and ANN].

Kim, H., Yun, T., Hong, S., Lee, S., and Jeong, S. (2020). Retardation of wetting for membrane distillation by adjusting major components of seawater. *Water Research*, 115677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115677

Kiss, A. A., and Kattan Readi, O. M. (2018). An industrial perspective on membrane distillation processes. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 93(8), 2047–2055. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5674

Krivorot, M., Kushmaro, A., Oren, Y., and Gilron, J. (2011). Factors affecting biofilm formation and biofouling in membrane distillation of seawater. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 376(1–2), 15–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2011.01.061

Kumar, R., Ghosh, A. K., and Pal, P. (2017). Fermentative energy conversion: Renewable carbon source to biofuels (ethanol) using Saccharomyces cerevisiae and downstream purification through solar driven membrane distillation and nanofiltration. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 150(August), 545–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.08.054

Laaber, D., and Bart, H.-J. (2015). Chemical Resistance and Mechanical Stability of Polymer Film Heat Exchangers. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik*, 87(3), 306–311. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201400045

Lalia, B. S., Guillen-Burrieza, E., Arafat, H. A., and Hashaikeh, R. (2013). Fabrication and characterization of polyvinylidenefluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP) electrospun membranes for direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 428, 104–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2012.10.061

Lalia, B. S., Janajreh, I., and Hashaikeh, R. (2017). A facile approach to fabricate superhydrophobic membranes with low contact angle hysteresis. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 539, 144–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.05.071

Laqbaqbi, M., Sanmartino, J., Khayet, M., García-Payo, C., and Chaouch, M. (2017). Fouling in Membrane Distillation, Osmotic Distillation and Osmotic Membrane Distillation. *Applied Sciences*, 7(4), 334. https://doi.org/10.3390/app7040334

Lawal, D. U., and Khalifa, A. E. (2016). Experimental investigation of an air gap membrane distillation unit with double-sided cooling channel. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(24), 11066–11080. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1042065

Lazare, S., Granier, V., Lutgen, P., and Feyder, G. (1988). Controlled roughening of poly(ethylene terephthalate) by photoablation: study of wetting and contact angle hysteresis. *Revue de Physique Appliquée*, 23(6), 1065–1070. https://doi.org/10.1051/rphysap:019880023060106500

Lee, H., He, F., Song, L., Gilron, J., and Sirkar, K. K. (2011). Desalination with a cascade of cross-flow hollow fiber Membrane Distillation devices integrated with a heat exchanger. *AlChE Journal*, 57(7), 1780–1794. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic

Lee, J. G., Jang, Y., Fortunato, L., Jeong, S., Lee, S., Leiknes, T. O., and Ghaffour, N. (2018). An advanced online monitoring approach to study the scaling behavior in direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 546, 50–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.10.009

Li, Chao, Deng, W., Gao, C., Xiang, X., Feng, X., Batchelor, B., and Li, Y. (2019). Membrane distillation coupled with a novel two-stage pretreatment process for petrochemical wastewater treatment and reuse. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 224, 23–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.05.007

Li, Chenxi, Li, X., Du, X., Tong, T., Cath, T. Y., and Lee, J. (2019). Anti-wetting and Antifouling Janus Membrane for Desalination of Saline Oily Wastewater by Membrane Distillation. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 11(20), 18456–18465. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b04212

Li, F., Huang, J., Xia, Q., Lou, M., Yang, B., Tian, Q., and Liu, Y. (2018). Direct contact membrane distillation for the treatment of industrial dyeing wastewater and characteristic pollutants. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 195(July 2017), 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.11.058

Li, J., Hou, D., Li, K., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., and Zhang, X. (2018). Domestic wastewater treatment by forward osmosis-membrane distillation (FO-MD) integrated system. *Water Science and Technology*, wst2018031. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2018.031

Li, K., Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Liu, H., and Wang, J. (2019). A polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)-silica aerogel (SiAG) insulating membrane for improvement of thermal efficiency during membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 117632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117632

Li, X., García-Payo, M. C., Khayet, M., Wang, M., and Wang, X. (2017). Superhydrophobic polysulfone/polydimethylsiloxane electrospun nanofibrous membranes for water desalination by direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 542, 308–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.08.011

Liao, Y., Wang, R., and Fane, A. G. (2013). Engineering superhydrophobic surface on poly(vinylidene fluoride) nanofiber membranes for direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 440, 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.04.006

Liao, Y., Zheng, G., Huang, J. J., Tian, M., and Wang, R. (2020). Development of robust and superhydrophobic membranes to mitigate membrane scaling and fouling in membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 601(December 2019), 117962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.117962 [This paper studies a method for fabrication of PVDF nanofiber membrane optimized for MD applications].

Lim, J. W., Lee, J. M., Yun, S. M., Park, B. J., and Lee, Y. S. (2009). Hydrophilic modification of polyacrylonitrile membranes by oxyfluorination. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 15(6), 876–882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2009.09.016

Lin, S., Nejati, S., Boo, C., Hu, Y., Osuji, C. O., and Elimelech, M. (2014). Omniphobic Membrane for Robust Membrane Distillation. *Environmental Science and Technology Letters*. https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500267p

Liu, Y., Xiao, T., Bao, C., Fu, Y., and Yang, X. (2018). Fabrication of novel Janus membrane by nonsolvent thermally induced phase separation (NTIPS) for enhanced performance in membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 563, 298–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.05.067

Lu, Chun, Su, C., Cao, H., Ma, X., Duan, F., Chang, J., and Li, Y. (2018). F-POSS based Omniphobic Membrane for robust Membrane Distillation. *Materials Letters*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2018.05.126

Lu, Conghua, Mohwald, H., and Fery, A. (2008). Large-Scale Regioselective Formation of Well-Defined Stable Wrinkles of Multilayered Films via Embossing. *Chemistry of Materials*, 20(22), 7052–7059. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm8018742

Lu, D., Liu, Q., Zhao, Y., Liu, H., and Ma, J. (2018). Treatment and energy utilization of oily water via integrated ultrafiltration-forward osmosis-membrane distillation (UF-FO-MD) system. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 548, 275–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.11.004

Luo, A., and Lior, N. (2017). Study of advancement to higher temperature membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 419(May), 88–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.05.020

Luo, W., Phan, H. V., Li, G., Hai, F. I., Price, W. E., Elimelech, M., and Nghiem, L. D. (2017). An Osmotic Membrane Bioreactor-Membrane Distillation System for Simultaneous Wastewater Reuse and Seawater Desalination: Performance and Implications. *Environmental Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02567

Manjula, S., and Subramanian, R. (2006). Membrane technology in degumming, dewaxing, deacidifying, and decolorizing edible oils. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 46(7), 569–592. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500357746

Marmur, A. (2003). Wetting on Hydrophobic Rough Surfaces: To Be Heterogeneous or Not To Be? *Langmuir*, 19(20), 8343–8348. https://doi.org/10.1021/la0344682

McGaughey, A., Karandikar, P., Gupta, M., and Childress, A. (2020). Hydrophobicity versus pore size: polymer coatings to improve membrane wetting resistance for membrane distillation. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.9b01133 [This shows the trade-off between hydrophobicity and pore size that is useful for manufacturing a wetting resistance membrane for membrane distillation application].

Meng, J., Li, P., and Cao, B. (2019). High-flux direct-contact pervaporation membranes for desalination. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 11(31), 28461–28468. [This paper studies a composite membrane consists of three layers with different hydrophobicity to avoid membrane wetting].

Meng, S., Ye, Y., Mansouri, J., and Chen, V. (2015). Crystallization behavior of salts during membrane distillation with hydrophobic and superhydrophobic capillary membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 473, 165–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.09.024

Mericq, J.-P., Laborie, S., and Cabassud, C. (2010). Vacuum membrane distillation of seawater reverse osmosis brines. *Water Research*, 44(18), 5260–5273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.06.052 [This paper studies employment of VMD to increase water recovery form the seawater].

Mericq, J., Laborie, S., and Cabassud, C. (2011). Evaluation of systems coupling vacuum membrane distillation and solar energy for seawater desalination. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 166(2), 596–606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.11.030

Munirasu, S., Banat, F., Durrani, A. A., and Haija, M. A. (2017). Intrinsically superhydrophobic PVDF membrane by phase inversion for membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 417, 77–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.05.019

Naidu, G., Jeong, S., and Vigneswaran, S. (2014). Influence of feed/permeate velocity on scaling development in a direct contact membrane distillation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 125, 291–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2014.01.049

Naidu, G., Jeong, S., and Vigneswaran, S. (2015). Interaction of humic substances on fouling in membrane distillation for seawater desalination. *Chemical Engineering Journal* (Vol. 262). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.10.060

Nayar, K. G., Panchanathan, D., McKinley, G. H., and Lienhard, J. H. (2014). Surface Tension of Seawater. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 43(4), 043103. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4899037

Nthunya, L. N., Gutierrez, L., Lapeire, L., Verbeken, K., Zaouri, N., Nxumalo, E. N., Mamba, B. B., Verliefde, A. R., and Mhlanga, S. D. (2019). Fouling-resistant PVDF nanofibre membranes for the desalination of brackish water in membrane distillation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 228, 115793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.115793

Nthunya, L. N., Gutierrez, L., Verliefde, A. R., and Mhlanga, S. D. (2019). Enhanced flux in direct contact membrane distillation using superhydrophobic PVDF nanofibre membranes embedded with organically modified SiO 2 nanoparticles. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 94(9), 2826–2837. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6104

Onsekizoglu, P. (2012). Membrane Distillation: Principle, Advances, Limitations and Future Prospects in Food Industry. In *Distillation - Advances from Modeling to Applications*. InTech. https://doi.org/10.5772/37625

Ortiz De Zárate, J. M., Rincón, C., and Mengual, J. I. (1998). Concentration of Bovine Serum Albumin Aqueous Solutions by Membrane Distillation. *Separation Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399808544769

Owens, D. K., and Wendt, R. C. (1969). Estimation of the surface free energy of polymers. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 13(8), 1741–1747. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.1969.070130815

Palzer, S., Hiebl, C., Sommer, K., and Lechner, H. (2001). Einfluss der Rauhigkeit einer Feststoffoberfläche auf den Kontaktwinkel. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik*, 73(8), 1032–1038. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2640(200108)73:8<1032::AID-CITE1032>3.0.CO;2-K

Peng, Y., Ge, J., Wang, S., and Li, Z. (2017). Occurrence of salt breakthrough and air-vapor pocket in a direct-contact membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 402, 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.09.033

Qin, W., Xie, Z., Ng, D., Ye, Y., Ji, X., Gray, S., and Zhang, J. (2018). Comparison of colloidal silica involved fouling behavior in three membrane distillation configurations using PTFE membrane. *Water Research*, 130, 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.002 [This paper studies the influence of MD configuration on the fouling behaviors of silica].

Qing, W., Wang, J., Ma, X., Yao, Z., Feng, Y., Shi, X., Liu, F., Wang, P., and Tang, C. Y. (2019). Onestep tailoring surface roughness and surface chemistry to prepare superhydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes for enhanced membrane distillation performances. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 553, 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2019.06.011

Qu, D., Wang, J., Wang, L., Hou, D., Luan, Z., and Wang, B. (2009). Integration of accelerated precipitation softening with membrane distillation for high-recovery desalination of primary reverse

osmosis concentrate. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 67(1), 21–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2009.02.021

Qu, F., Yan, Z., Yu, H., Fan, G., Pang, H., Rong, H., and He, J. (2020). Effect of residual commercial antiscalants on gypsum scaling and membrane wetting during direct contact membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 486(March), 114493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114493

Quist-Jensen, C. A., Macedonio, F., Conidi, C., Cassano, A., Aljlil, S., Alharbi, O. A., and Drioli, E. (2016). Direct contact membrane distillation for the concentration of clarified orange juice. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 187, 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.04.021

Rajwade, K., Barrios, A. C., Garcia-Segura, S., and Perreault, F. (2020). Pore wetting in membrane distillation treatment of municipal wastewater desalination brine and its mitigation by foam fractionation. *Chemosphere*, 257, 127214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127214

Rezaei, M., Alsaati, A., Warsinger, D. M., Hell, F., and Samhaber, W. M. (2020). Long-Running Comparison of Feed-Water Scaling in Membrane Distillation. *Membranes*, 10(8), 173. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10080173

Rezaei, M., and Samhaber, W. M. (2016). Wetting Behaviour of Superhydrophobic Membranes Coated with Nanoparticles in Membrane Distillation. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 47, 373–378. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1647063

Rezaei, M., Warsinger, D. M., Lienhard, J. H., and Samhaber, W. M. (2017). Wetting prevention in membrane distillation through superhydrophobicity and recharging an air layer on the membrane surface. In *Journal of Membrane Science* (Vol. 530). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.02.013

Rezaei, M., Warsinger, D. M., Lienhard V, J. H., Duke, M. C., Matsuura, T., and Samhaber, W. M. (2018). Wetting phenomena in membrane distillation: Mechanisms, reversal, and prevention. *Water Research*, 139, 329–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.058 [This presents a comprehensive literature survey on the wetting phenomenon and wetting fundamentals, wetting conditions, etc.].

Ricceri, F., Giagnorio, M., Farinelli, G., Blandini, G., Minella, M., Vione, D., and Tiraferri, A. (2019). Desalination of Produced Water by Membrane Distillation: Effect of the Feed Components and of a Pre-treatment by Fenton Oxidation. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 14964. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51167-z

Sanmartino, J. A., Khayet, M., and García-Payo, M. C. (2016). Desalination by Membrane Distillation. In *Emerging Membrane Technology for Sustainable Water Treatment* (pp. 77–109). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63312-5.00004-8

Schwantes, R., Bauer, L., Chavan, K., Dücker, D., Felsmann, C., and Pfafferott, J. (2018). Air gap membrane distillation for hypersaline brine concentration: Operational analysis of a full-scale module–New strategies for wetting mitigation. *Desalination*, 444(February), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.06.012 [This is a prototype studying membrane distillation with high salinity water and is investigating the membrane wetting practically].

Seyed Shahabadi, S. M., Rabiee, H., Seyedi, S. M., Mokhtare, A., and Brant, J. A. (2017). Superhydrophobic dual layer functionalized titanium dioxide/polyvinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene (TiO2/PH) nano-fibrous membrane for high flux membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 537(April), 140–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.05.039 [This paper studies the functionalization of MD membrane by nanomaterial to achieve high flux and stable operation].

She, Q., Wang, R., Fane, A. G., and Tang, C. Y. (2016). Membrane fouling in osmotically driven membrane processes: A review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 499, 201–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.10.040

Shin, Y., Choi, J., Lee, T., Sohn, J., and Lee, S. (2016). Optimization of de-wetting conditions for hollow fiber membranes in vacuum membrane distillation. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(16), 7582–7592. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1044266

Shirazi, M. M. A., Kargari, A., and Tabatabaei, M. (2014). Evaluation of commercial PTFE membranes in desalination by direct contact membrane distillation. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 76, 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2013.11.010

Sinha Ray, S., Singh Bakshi, H., Dangayach, R., Singh, R., Deb, C. K., Ganesapillai, M., Chen, S.-S., and Purkait, M. K. (2020). Recent Developments in Nanomaterials-Modified Membranes for Improved Membrane Distillation Performance. *Membranes*, 10(7), 140. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10070140 [This paper is a complete review of MD membrane modification by nanomaterials].

Sirkar, K. K., Singh, D., and Li, L. (2017). Membrane Distillation in Desalination and Water Treatment. In A. Figoli and A. Criscuoli (Eds.), *Sustainable Membrane Technology for Water and Wastewater Treatment* (pp. 201–219). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5623-9_7

Smolders, K., and Franken, A. C. M. (1989). Terminology for Membrane Distillation. *Desalination*, 72(3), 249–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-9164(89)80010-4 [This presents and defines the most important terminology in the membrane distillation].

Song, L., Ma, Z., Liao, X., Kosaraju, P. B., Irish, J. R., and Sirkar, K. K. (2008). Pilot plant studies of novel membranes and devices for direct contact membrane distillation-based desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 323(2), 257–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2008.05.079

Sparenberg, M.-C., Ruiz Salmón, I., and Luis, P. (2020). Economic evaluation of salt recovery from wastewater via membrane distillation-crystallization. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 235, 116075. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116075 [This paper studies the commercial feasibility of using MD to recover different salts].

Stasyuk, S., and Pyatetskii, A. I. (1993). Calculation of wetting contact angle for polymer films treated with a corona discharge. *Chemical and Petroleum Engineering*, 29(5), 210–212. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01150096

Taamneh, Y., and Bataineh, K. (2017). Improving the performance of direct contact membrane distillation utilizing spacer-filled channel. *Desalination*, 408, 25–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.01.004

Tan, Y. Z., Han, L., Chow, W. H., Fane, A. G., and Chew, J. W. (2017). Influence of module orientation and geometry in the membrane distillation of oily seawater. *Desalination*, 423(September), 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.09.019

Teoh, G. H., Chin, J. Y., Ooi, B. S., Jawad, Z. A., Leow, H. T. L., and Low, S. C. (2020). Superhydrophobic membrane with hierarchically 3D-microtexture to treat saline water by deploying membrane distillation. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 37, 101528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101528

Thomas, N., Mavukkandy, M. O., Loutatidou, S., and Arafat, H. A. (2017). Membrane distillation research and implementation: Lessons from the past five decades. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 189(June), 108–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2017.07.069 [this presents the timeline of MD's growth, prospective application, and research area].

Tijing, L. D., Woo, Y. C., Choi, J.-S., Lee, S., Kim, S.-H., and Shon, H. K. (2015). Fouling and its control in membrane distillation—A review. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 475, 215–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2014.09.042

Tijing, L. D., Woo, Y. C., Shim, W.-G., He, T., Choi, J.-S., Kim, S.-H., and Shon, H. K. (2016). Superhydrophobic nanofiber membrane containing carbon nanotubes for high-performance direct contact membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 502, 158–170. [The paper researches enhancement of the hydrophobicity by modification with carbon nanotube].

Tomaszewska, M. (2000). Membrane distillation-examples of applications in technology and environmental protection. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 9(1), 27–36. http://fn.pjoes.com/pdf/9.1/27-36.pdf

Tufa, R. A., Curcio, E., Brauns, E., van Baak, W., Fontananova, E., and Di Profio, G. (2015). Membrane Distillation and Reverse Electrodialysis for Near-Zero Liquid Discharge and low energy seawater desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 496, 325–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.09.008 [This paper researches the possibility of combining MD with RE to realize zero liquid discharge].

Vinoth Kumar, R., Barbosa, M. O., Ribeiro, A. R., Morales-Torres, S., Pereira, M. F. R., and Silva, A. M. T. (2020). Advanced oxidation technologies combined with direct contact membrane distillation for

treatment of secondary municipal wastewater. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 140, 111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.03.008

Volpin, F., Chekli, L., Phuntsho, S., Ghaffour, N., Vrouwenvelder, J. S., and Shon, H. K. (2019). Optimisation of a forward osmosis and membrane distillation hybrid system for the treatment of source-separated urine. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 212, 368–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.11.003

WANG, J., QU, D., TIE, M., REN, H., PENG, X., and LUAN, Z. (2008). Effect of coagulation pretreatment on membrane distillation process for desalination of recirculating cooling water. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 64(1), 108–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.07.022

Wang, K. Y., Chung, T. S., and Gryta, M. (2008). Hydrophobic PVDF hollow fiber membranes with narrow pore size distribution and ultra-thin skin for the fresh water production through membrane distillation. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 63(9), 2587–2594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2008.02.020

Wang, K. Y., Teoh, M. M., Nugroho, A., and Chung, T.-S. (2011). Integrated forward osmosismembrane distillation (FO-MD) hybrid system for the concentration of protein solutions. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 66(11), 2421–2430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2011.03.001

Wang, Y., Davidson, J., and Francis, L. (2005). Scaling in Polymer Tubes and Interpretation for Use in Solar Water Heating Systems. *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*, 127(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1823492

Warsinger, D. M., Servi, A., Connors, G. B., Mavukkandy, M. O., Arafat, H. A., Gleason, K. K., and Lienhard V, J. H. (2017). Reversing membrane wetting in membrane distillation: comparing dryout to backwashing with pressurized air. Environmental Science: *Water Research and Technology*, 3(5), 930–939. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EW00085E

Warsinger, D. M., Servi, A., Van Belleghem, S., Gonzalez, J., Swaminathan, J., Kharraz, J., Chung, H. W., Arafat, H. A., Gleason, K. K., and Lienhard V, J. H. (2016). Combining air recharging and membrane superhydrophobicity for fouling prevention in membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 505, 241–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.01.018 [This indicates an alternative approach for membrane wetting mitigation in the MD].

Warsinger, D. M., Swaminathan, J., Guillen-Burrieza, E., Arafat, H. A., and Lienhard V, J. H. (2015). Scaling and fouling in membrane distillation for desalination applications: A review. *Desalination*, 356, 294–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.06.031

Warsinger, D. M., Tow, E. W., Swaminathan, J., and Lienhard V, J. H. (2017). Theoretical framework for predicting inorganic fouling in membrane distillation and experimental validation with calcium sulfate. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 528, 381–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.01.031 [This provides a model to predict the inorganic fouling and regime maps, which consider kinetics, fluid mechanics, thermodynamics].

Wenzel, R. N. (1936). Resistance of solid surfaces to wetting by water. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 28(8), 988–994. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie50320a024

Wolf, K. L. (1957). *Physik und Chemie der Grenzflächen*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-49700-1

Woo, Y. C., Chen, Y., Tijing, L. D., Phuntsho, S., He, T., Choi, J. S., Kim, S. H., and Shon, H. K. (2017). CF4plasma-modified omniphobic electrospun nanofiber membrane for produced water brine treatment by membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 529(September 2016), 234–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.01.063

Wu, Y., Kang, Y., Zhang, L., Qu, D., Cheng, X., and Feng, L. (2018). Performance and fouling mechanism of direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) treating fermentation wastewater with high organic concentrations. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 65, 253–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.01.015

Xiao, Z., Li, Z., Guo, H., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Yin, H., Li, X., Song, J., Nghiem, L. D., and He, T. (2019). Scaling mitigation in membrane distillation: From superhydrophobic to slippery. *Desalination*, 466(May), 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.05.006

Yabu, H., Hirai, Y., Kojima, M., and Shimomura, M. (2009). Simple Fabrication of Honeycomb- and Pincushion-Structured Films Containing Thermoresponsive Polymers and Their Surface Wettability. *Chemistry of Materials*, 21(9), 1787–1789. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm803476m

Yang, H.-C., Xie, Y., Hou, J., Cheetham, A. K., Chen, V., and Darling, S. B. (2018). Janus Membranes: Creating Asymmetry for Energy Efficiency. *Advanced Materials*, 30(43), 1801495. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201801495

Yang, X., Tian, R., Ma, S., and Lv, H. (2012). Study on membrane fouling experiment of stacked {AGMD} module in low temperature. *Advanced Materials Research*, 396–398, 458–462.

Yao, M., Tijing, L. D., Naidu, G., Kim, S.-H., Matsuyama, H., Fane, A. G., and Shon, H. K. (2020). A review of membrane wettability for the treatment of saline water deploying membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 479, 114312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114312 [Thsi paper reviewes the MD membrane with special wetability in brine treatment and provides potential solutions to the challenges to these membranes].

Zarebska, A., Amor, Á. C., Ciurkot, K., Karring, H., Thygesen, O., Andersen, T. P., Hägg, M.-B., Christensen, K. V., and Norddahl, B. (2015). Fouling mitigation in membrane distillation processes during ammonia stripping from pig manure. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 484, 119–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.03.010

Zenkiewicz, M. (1989). Bestimmung des Randwinkels bei der Benetzung von durch Koronaentladungen vorbehandelten Polyethylenfolien. *Acta Polymerica*, 40(4), 282–285. https://doi.org/10.1002/actp.1989.010400414

Zhang, Jianhua, Dow, N., Duke, M., Ostarcevic, E., Li, J., and Gray, S. (2010). Identification of material and physical features of membrane distillation membranes for high performance desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 349(1–2), 295–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2009.11.056 [This paper compares membranes with different structure and identifies the structure and material suitable for MD work].

Zhang, Jianhua, Li, J.-D., Duke, M., Hoang, M., Xie, Z., Groth, A., Tun, C., and Gray, S. (2013). Influence of module design and membrane compressibility on VMD performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 442, 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2013.04.028 [This paper studies influence of module design and membrane compressibility on the VMD performance].

Zhang, Jianhua, Li, J., and Gray, S. (2011). Effect of applied pressure on performance of PTFE membrane in DCMD. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 369(1–2), 514–525. [This paper studies the influence of process pressure on ther performance of PTFE membrane].

Zhang, Jianhua, Li, N., Ng, D., Ike, I. A., Xie, Z., and Gray, S. (2019). Depletion of VOC in wastewater by vacuum membrane distillation using a dual-layer membrane: mechanism of mass transfer and selectivity. *Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology*, 5(1), 119–130. [This paper demonstrates the selectivity of a dual-layer membrane to VOC].

Zhang, Jianhua, Xie, Z., Gao, Y., Shi, Z., and Gray, S. (2020). Chapter 8 - Achievements in membrane distillation processes for wastewater and water treatment. In A. Basile and K. Ghasemzadeh (Eds.), Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) *Membranes* (pp. 221–238). Elsevier. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817378-7.00008-2 [This chapter summarized the progresses have been achieved in membrane distillation area].

Zhang, Jing, Song, Z., Li, B., Wang, Q., and Wang, S. (2013). Fabrication and characterization of superhydrophobic poly (vinylidene fluoride) membrane for direct contact membrane distillation. *Desalination*, 324, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.05.018

Zhang, W., Lu, Y., Liu, J., Li, X., Li, B., and Wang, S. (2019). Preparation of re-entrant and anti-fouling PVDF composite membrane with omniphobicity for membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 117563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117563

Zhang, Yan, Shen, F., Cao, W., and Wan, Y. (2020). Hydrophilic/hydrophobic Janus membranes with a dual-function surface coating for rapid and robust membrane distillation desalination. *Desalination*, 491(May), 114561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114561

Zhang, Yong, Zhao, P., Li, J., Hou, D., Wang, J., and Liu, H. (2016). A hybrid process combining homogeneous catalytic ozonation and membrane distillation for wastewater treatment. *Chemosphere*, 160, 134–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.070

Zhao, F., Ma, Z., Xiao, K., Xiang, C., Wang, H., Huang, X., and Liang, S. (2018). Hierarchically textured superhydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride membrane fabricated via nanocasting for enhanced membrane distillation performance. *Desalination*, 443, 228–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.06.003

Zhao, X., Lu, X., Liu, Z., Zheng, S., Liu, S., and Zhang, Y. (2019). Gas-liquid interface extraction: An effective pretreatment approach to retard pore channel wetting in hydrophobic membrane application processes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 574, 174–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.12.068

Zhou, Y., Huang, M., Deng, Q., and Cai, T. (2017). Combination and performance of forward osmosis and membrane distillation (FO-MD) for treatment of high salinity landfill leachate. *Desalination*, 420(June), 99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.06.027

Zhu, Z., Zhong, L., Chen, X., Zhang, W., Zuo, J., Zeng, G., and Wang, W. (2020). Monolithic and selfroughened Janus fibrous membrane with superhydrophilic/omniphobic surface for robust antifouling and antiwetting membrane distillation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 118499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118499

Zolotarev, P. P., Ugrozov, V. V., Volkina, I. B., and Nikulin, V. M. (1994). Treatment of waste water for removing heavy metals by membrane distillation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 37(1), 77–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894(94)85035-6

Biographical Sketches

Mohammad Rezaei received his bachelor's degree in the inorganic chemical engineering in 2007 and a master's degree in process engineering in 2009 from Azad University in Tehran, Iran. In 2010 he was granted a Marie Curie Actions from the European Commission to research "Multi-Scale Computational Modeling of Chemical and Biological Systems" in Austria and Greece. In 2017, he received his Ph.D. in membrane separation technologies from Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria. He has published several scientific articles, and several of them are associated with the membrane distillation (MD) process. His research activities encompass fundamental studies of membrane process design and applied studies in wetting control in MD. His teaching duties include Chemical Process Engineering and related lab courses. His recent research project involves seawater membrane desalination, energy efficiency for solar evaporation and thermal desalination, and techno-economic analysis of wastewater treatment in iron and steel industries.

Marek Gryta received his Diploma in chemical engineering in 1988 from Technical University of Szczecin, Poland, and his Ph.D. in 1995 from this University. At present, he is a Full Professor of chemical technology at West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, Poland. His main research interests are focused on studying membrane processes applied for water desalination and wastewater treatment. He has published a number of scientific articles (over 300) and about 50 patents in this research area. The majority of them are associated with the membrane distillation process. His teaching duties include the lectures concerning Inorganic Chemistry, Chemical and Environmental Analysis, Separation Processes, and Technical Drawing. He has realized several research projects focusing on applying membrane processes for water treatment in the power plants and industry. His recent research project involves utilizing membrane distillation for the separation of brines contaminated by oil and surfactants. The major problem constitutes the durability of hydrophobic membranes (fouling/scaling and wettability), particularly during the treatment of brines containing various surface-active contaminants. In this project, the polypropylene membranes were applied, and their performance will be confirmed by long-term MD studies (over several months).

Muhammad Roil Bilad received his Diploma in Chemical Engineering in 2005 from Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia, and his Ph.D. in 2012 from KU Leuven, Belgium. At present, he is a Senior Lecturer of chemical engineering at Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Malaysia. His main research interests are focused on membrane processes and membrane engineering. He has published a number of scientific articles (over 150) and co-invented 3 patents in this research area. The main focuses of his research are on control of membrane fouling and scaling through module design approaches as well as a novel bioprocess involving membrane filtration. His teaching duties include the lectures on Principles of Chemical

Engineering, Fluids Mechanics for Chemical Engineering, Advanced Reaction Engineering, and Cogeneration and Utility Systems. His recent research projects involve low-pressure filtration systems for various applications, process intensification involving membrane distillation, and biological process.

Alba Ruiz-Aguirre has a degree in Chemical Engineering and obtained her Ph.D. (Extraordinary Ph.D. Prize of University of Almería) in 2017. She is currently in receipt of a Juan de la Cierva postdoctoral contract awarded by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation, and Universities. Her research topics are focused on membrane technologies applied to water treatment, mainly membrane distillation (MD) and diffusion dialysis (DD), and the application of solar energy to the processes. She has worked with several solar MD pilot plants and has been involved in evaluating several commercial MD technologies. She has been involved in 5 national and international R+D projects, has 17 papers in SCI journals, and 49 contributions to different International Conferences.

Hamid Fattahi Juybari is a Research Scholar in the Birck Nanotechnology Center, Department of Mechanical Engineering of Purdue University, USA, and is a Ph.D. Candidate in the School of Materials and Advanced Processes Engineering of Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. He received his bachelor's degree in Textile Engineering in 2012 from the University of Guilan and a master's degree in Nano-fibrous Structure Engineering in 2014 from Amirkabir University of Technology. His research focuses on engineering material and developing membranes that address challenges at the intersection of water and energy, and he is broadly interested in applications related to desalination and advanced water treatment.

Jianhua Zhang received his bachelor's degree in Chemical Engineering in 1996 at Xi'an Jiaotong University, China. He was awarded a Ph.D. degree in 2011 from Victoria University, Australia. He worked in the chemical industry for 10 years before he continued his Ph.D. study at Victoria University in 2008. He has been working at Victoria University as a Senior Research Fellow in the water treatment area since 2011. His research covers different technologies for potable reuse, wastewater treatment, and desalination, including filtration, biological activated carbon, membrane distillation, reverse osmosis, and advanced oxidation.

Lebea N. Nthunya received his Bachelor's Degree in Chemical Technology in 2013 from the National University of Lesotho. In 2016, he graduated his Master's Degree (Distinction) from the University of Johannesburg. Finally, Lebea Nthunya is a dual Ph.D. graduate between Ghent University (Belgium) and University of South Africa. His key research interest is based on developing state-of-the-art water purification systems comprising polymeric nanofibre adsorbents and the filtration membranes. Remarkably, he has published several papers based on Membrane Distillation. Also, Nthunya has worked on several water quality assessment projects in the Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces of South Africa, as well as Water Quality Assurance in all Lesotho Districts Under the supervision of Water and Sewerage Company (WASCO). Nthunya headed student charter at South African Nanotechnology Initiative (SANi). He is currently working as a Chemical Engineering Lecturer and Researcher at Tshwane University of Technology (South Africa).

Patrick Loulergue received his Ph.D. in Environmental Process Engineering in 2012 at INSA Toulouse (France). He then joined the Université de Rennes 1 (France), first as a Postdoctoral fellow and then as an associate professor since 2014. In July 2018, he was a visiting professor at the Sichuan University (Chengdu, China). His research activity lies at the interface of chemical engineering and material science, focusing on sustainable processes and materials. In particular, his research interests are related to the synthesis, characterization, and use of membranes for filtration and distillation processes in the fields of water treatment and biotechnology.

Mark Hlawitschka received his Diploma in Mechanical and Process Engineering in 2008, and his Ph.D. in the field of liquid-liquid extraction in 2013 from the University of Kaiserslautern. After his habilitation in the field of "multiscale investigations of reactive bubble columns." At present is leading the institute of Process Engineering at the Johannes Kepler University in Linz. His teaching includes, among others, Mechanical and Thermal Process Engineering, as well as Apparatus Design. His research spreads to the field of thermal process engineering. Among his last topics are the development of sophisticated measurement techniques and Computational Fluid Dynamics simulations for multiphase flows, detailed analysis of single effects, such as coalescence and mass transfer of single particles, as well as equipment design, such as extraction columns and mixer-settlers.