INTRODUCTION TO NEOTROPICAL ENTOMOLOGY AND PHYTOPATHOLOGY

A. Bonet

Department of Entomology, Instituto de Ecología A.C., Mexico

G. Carrión

Department of Biodiversity and Systematic, Instituto de Ecología A.C., Mexico

Keywords: Biodiversity loss, biological control, evolution, hotspot regions, insect biodiversity, insect pests, multitrophic interactions, parasite-host relationship, pathogens, pollination, rust fungi

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. History
- 2.1. Phytopathology
- 2.1.1. Evolution of the Parasite-Host Relationship
- 2.1.2. The Evolution of Phytopathogenic Fungi and Their Host Plants
- 2.1.3. Flor's Gene-For-Gene Theory
- 2.1.4. Pathogenetic Mechanisms in Plant Parasitic Fungi and Hyperparasites
- 2.2. Entomology
- 2.2.1. Entomology in Asia and the Middle East
- 2.2.2. Entomology in Ancient Greece and Rome
- 2.2.3. New World Prehispanic Cultures
- 3. Insect evolution
- 4. Biodiversity
- 4.1. Biodiversity Loss and Insect Conservation
- 5. Ecosystem services and the use of biodiversity
- 5.1. Pollination in Tropical Ecosystems
- 5.2. Biological Control of Fungi and Insects
- 6. The future of Entomology and phytopathology
- 7. Entomology and phytopathology section's content
- 8. Conclusion
- Acknowledgements

Glossary

Bibliography 🛸

Biographical Sketches

Summary

Insects are among the most abundant and diverse organisms in terrestrial ecosystems, making up more than half of the earth's biodiversity. To date, 1.5 million species of organisms have been recorded, although around 85% of potential species (some 10 million) have not yet been identified. In the case of the Neotropics, although insects are clearly a vital element, there are many families of organisms and regions that are yet to be well researched. The high abundance and diversity of insects is the result of 400

million years of evolution, during which they have shown great adaptive capabilities, occupying different niches and ecosystems throughout the world. This evolution has been possible due to the presence of flowering plants, which insects use and interact with for alimentary, reproductive, biological control, pollination and habitat purposes. In phytopathology, plant diseases are analyzed; it is not surprising that insects are the vectors for many such diseases. We begin this study by giving an overview of the historic development of both disciplines: entomology and phytopathology, followed by a report of recent developments relating to insect evolution and biodiversity. The role these organisms play in vital ecosystem processes such as pollination and biological control is emphasized. Also stressed is the importance of recognizing global change and biodiversity loss as key elements for consideration of how to maintain ecosystem

integrity and prevent the mass extinction of insects by implementing public policies designed to preserve them.

1. Introduction

From the early days of agriculture, plant diseases—caused by mycoplasms, viruses, bacteria, fungi, and parasitic angiosperms-have, along with insect pests, been considered an element that must be controlled in order for cultivated plants to provide their intended products. The idea of combating "the bad guys" that attack plants stems from an anthropocentric view of nature, as those organisms affecting plants valuable to man are part of a complex ecosystem that has been artificially modified and simplified for human use. Plants are considered healthy when they carry out all of their physiological functions. However, in nature all organisms are susceptible to being eaten or degraded by other organisms. In a natural system, plant populations maintain a certain biological integrity, and trophic level interactions formed by guilds or ecological assemblages of organisms interacting constantly within each ecosystem, maintaining a population dynamic between animals, plants, insects, fungi, bacteria, viruses, and mycoplasms. The various organisms that feed on them as well-parasites, antagonists, commensalists, etc.-are part of the ecosystem. With human population growth and extensive and intensive agricultural practices, natural ecosystems have been modified and simplified into crop systems. Crops are affected by diseases and rampant insect pest population growth, because there are abundant food sources for reproduction and no natural enemies to exercise top-down control over them, as is the case in any natural system. It is in this scenario, human beings begin to struggle with diverse organisms that cause plant illnesses. Furthermore, attempts to mitigate or control the damage through physical means, either chemical or biological, often further disturb the original natural conditions.

Research on plant diseases arose from the human need to protect several crops that provide food or other products with economic value. Phytopathology, or plant pathology, is the science that studies the diverse agents that cause plant diseases. Disease in a plant can be understood as a physiological alteration or damage that affects its well being. The word is used in a broad sense when an organism affects a plant's health, be it mycoplasm, virus, bacteria, fungus, parasitic angiosperm, insect, mite, nematode, or other parasitic animal. When the problem arises due to adverse soil conditions caused by the scarcity of a particular nutrient, it is considered a disorder. The term pathogen is commonly used in reference to live organisms that are causing damage to an entire plant or part of a host plant.

Thanks to scientific breakthroughs in understanding different pathogenic agents, research has become more diversified and specialized. Diseases caused by macro and micro parasites including insects, fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes, among other agents, have been studied by entomologists, mycologists, parasitologists, bacteriologists, virologists, and nematologists. The introduction of phytopathology into any of these different fields requires an understanding of the basic concepts explained heretofore. Insects, being the most abundant and diverse animals on earth, are closely linked to the causal agents of several plant diseases. It is therefore vitally important to understand their biology, history, taxonomy, ecology, and evolution.

2. History

2.1. Phytopathology

In the nineteenth century, studies conducted by De Bary and Kuhn laid the foundations for modern plant pathology. Their descriptions were meticulous, and they proved the pathogenicity of a large number of microorganisms. Since the time of Linnaeus, it was known that pathogenic fungi were independent organisms. On the basis of this knowledge, in 1860 Pasteur provided a definitive refutation for the spontaneous generation concept; and in 1876 Koch proposed four postulates that would become crucial to pathogenic studies. In Europe, during this same period (1880), a Bordeaux mixture (lime-sulfur) was used to combat the downy mildew growing on grapevines (Plasmopara viticola) and in Ceylon (now Sri Lanka), to control coffee rust (Hemileia vastatrix). These advances aroused interest in studying disease control using chemical substances. The most commonly used were sulfur and copper sulfate; pathologists concentrated on finding the ideal dose and application period to effectively control parasites. However, continual crop reduction led to the search for other, more efficient chemical compounds. In 1934, Tisdale and Williams discovered dithiocarbamate fungicides—and in 1938, research began on cloranil-type quinines (tetrachloroparabenzoquinone). By 1943, diclone-type fungicides (2-3-dichloride-1-4 naphthoquinone) were being tested. This paved the way for the discovery of the antimetabolic mechanism of toxins; the concept of quelates was developed, and plant pathology research based on physiology and biochemistry began. At the same time, ecological studies shed light on the role of organisms in diseases involving parasitehost-environment interactions. Detailed knowledge of both fungus and insect pest life cycles showed that soil is an excellent medium for the transmission and survival of pathogenic fungi and many insect pests. During the second half of the 20th century, the parasite-host relationship was studied from a physiological and biochemical perspective, with analysis focusing on the mechanisms parasites use to penetrate a host and how it resists them. The "gene-for-gene" concept derived from Flor's 1956 research on linen rust meant that "...for each gene conditioning the rust reaction on a host, there is a specific gene that conditions parasite pathogenicity." Later, studies by Van der Plank broadened research on pests. Genetic and molecular biology research on many insect pests and parasitic fungi has brought benefits both to the field of entomology and plant pathology, with application to agricultural and environmental science.

2.1.1. Evolution of the Parasite-Host Relationship

A parasite is an organism that spends a substantial portion of its life in or on a host (or a small number of hosts), thus deriving nourishment and jeopardizing the survival and reproduction capacity of the host (Price 1977, Thompson 1994). The parasite-host relationship is interspecific and antagonistic; that is, the fitness of one of the interacting species increases as that of the other species decreases. Selection then acts on parasites, improving their chances to find appropriate hosts. Differences in how they feed influence the way that parasitic organisms specialize on hosts as well as how hosts defend themselves from parasites. Specialization can be defined as a limitation in the number of species with which a particular species interacts. Thus, an extreme specialist is one that depends on one species or a group of closely related species for survival and reproduction during much of its life cycle.

From an ecological perspective, all parasites share a common problem: they must satisfy their nutritional requirements and avoid all enemies on the host or they will die. They must adhere to the host, face physiological changes in it—sometimes induced by them—and compete with other predators or potential competitors; they must also deal with their own parasites without abandoning the host (Thompson 1994). On plants, parasites represent a specialized ecological niche that requires adaptations on the part of fungi. In molecular terms, these adaptations represent a dependency on the type of interaction that takes place between parasite and host, although the inactivation of plant defenses appears to be of primary importance (Heath 1987).

Mycologists and phytopathologists recognize two types of parasites: biotrophic and necrotrophic. The former is an organism that grows on another organism, in intimate association with its cytoplasm for all or part (during the development of one or several stages) of its life cycle, deriving energy from the live protoplasm. A necrotrophic parasite is also an organism that grows on another, but finally kills it; it completes its development by deriving energy from the dead cells of its host. Fungi can grow and reproduce in the absence of live protoplasm. They have an aggressive and destructive way of attacking and colonizing, frequently involving rapid growth rates and potent extracellular enzymes (Dick 1988, Hawksworth *et al.* 1996, Snell and Dick 1971, Ulloa and Hanlin 2006).

The success of biotrophic parasites depends on allowing the host to remain alive; this makes for a delicate balance between host and parasite (Savile 1979). The development of necrotrophic fungi is favored by a hypersensitivity response from the host, while in the biotrophic fungi; the response is hyposensitive to the host. Necrotrophic fungi can produce hormones but cannot alter translocation patterns, as tissue destruction sends a message to block infection sites. Necrotrophic fungi use tissues as substrates at the time of infection. In contrast, through alterations in translocation patterns, biotrophic fungi are able to use photosynthetic products and other byproducts of the infection. An obvious difference between biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens is in the amount and type of hydrolytic enzymes secreted within host tissues. Necrotrophics produce plentiful amounts of enzymes of varying specificity, resulting in tissue degradation; biotrophics are known to cause a minimal amount of tissue damage (Heath 1987).

Although pathologists and mycologists have upheld the concept that specialization in the parasite-host interaction is determined by their genotype, they also support the idea that these interactions are mediated by environmental conditions (Savile 1976, Dick 1988, Hijwegen 1988, Isaac 1991, Lonsdale and Gibbs 1996). Thompson (1994, 1999, 2005) explains species co-evolution in his geographic mosaic theory, which is based on the fact that species distribution is not homogenous, so that a spatial mosaic of species richness is created. This theory takes into account other evolutionary theories: gene to gene, geographically structured races and mutualisms, succession cycles, recycling, alternance, diversification and escape, and lineage radiation theories. An ecological concern that has grown over time is the need to understand co-evolution as a progressive process, especially for the dynamics of biological communities that grow rapidly.

2.1.2. The Evolution of Phytopathogenic Fungi and Their Host Plants

Fungal parasite distribution is determined by the specificity and range of hosts as well as by environmental conditions that affect parasite distribution. Some parasite genera or species are always found on the same genera or species of host, while others are less selective and can develop ecological races that are associated with different host species or races (García-Franco 1996).

The coevolution of host and parasite involves not just one factor responsible for parasite diversification but a chain of adaptations. Other factors are a) topographic specialization relating to host tissue; b) biological specialization to a host environment, through parasite evolution in conjunction with the host involved; and c) sporadic successful leap over to a distantly related host. These jumps can be defined as transference from one host species to another, with or without genetic modifications (Pirozinski and Hawksworth 1988). Hijwegen (1988) considered the coevolution of plants and biotrophic parasites to be the evolution of a pathosystem that gives rise to a branched system. It constitutes a combination of evolutionary events and jumps to closely related taxa. Thus, fungal attacks on plants originate predominantly from factors relating to genetics, geographic overlap, and climate conditions. Specialized fungi are able to behave like phylogenetic taxonomists, choosing hosts on the basis of geographic overlap and favorable climate. Savile is a pioneer in the use of parasite-host data as a tool to shed light on the taxonomy and evolution of vascular plants (Savile 1970, 1976, 1979).

The affinity of rust fungi groups with plant groups is evident in Uredinales. In nature, we can encounter the entire gradient of relationships, be they on the host family, subfamily, genus, or species level. For example, within the Rosaceae family, the genus *Gymnosporangium* is a parasitic fungus characteristic of the Pomoideae subfamily (recorded on *Malus, Pyrus, Amelanchier, Aronia, Crataegus, Cydonia,* and *Sorbus*), but only on aecidiae in almost all Euroasian and North American species, the greatest diversity and host richness corresponding to North America. The subfamily Prunoideae (*Prunus*) is associated with the genus *Tranzschelia*, and the subfamily Rosoideae is associated with *Phragmidium* with the genera *Rosa* and *Potentilla*. The genus *Triphragmium* distinguishes species of *Filipendula*, causing Savile to suggest that it be placed in Rosoideae instead of the subfamily Spiraeoideae (Savile 1979). Leppik (1972) showed that rusts reflect an early biogeographic evolution of the Fabaceae

TROPICAL BIOLOGY AND CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT – Vol. VI - Introduction to Neotropical Entomology and Phytopathology - A. Bonet and G. Carrión

(Leguminosae). *Uromyces* (Pucciniaceae) prevails in the temperate northern regions on Faboideae; while several genera of Raveneliaceae are distributed in the tropics and southern region and are found mainly on Mimosoideae and Caesalpinioideae (Leguminosae). Examples of a parasite species on a host genus are *Hemileia vastatrix* on *Coffea* spp., *Cronartium conigenum* on *Pinus* spp., and *Melampsora lini* on *Linum* spp., among others.

Observation of this high degree of pathogenicity in the late 1970's has been applied to the implementation of biological control strategies against weeds that escape from natural enemies (parasites, grazers, and predators). This is the case of *Diabole cubensis* on *Mimosa pigra* (indigenous to America), considered monotypical (Cummins 1978). The weed was introduced into Australia, where it affected flora and fauna on huge areas, both agricultural and natural. Field exploration and observation in Latin America showed that *Diabole cubensis* appeared only on the previously mentioned host (Evans *et al.* 1995); for this reason, it was selected as a potential control agent.

2.1.3. Flor's Gene-For-Gene Theory

The gene-for-gene theory was proposed in 1956 by Flor, who studied genetics in linen cultivars that were attacked by the *Melampsora lini* rust. He demonstrated a close genetic relationship between host and pathogen. The theory is based on the concept that for each gene that determines the resistance of a linen plant, there is a specific, complementary gene in the rust that determines its virulence. For a host cultivar with only one resistance gene, there is a complementary single virulent gene in the pathogen; in certain cases, a host cultivar has two, three, or four such resistance genes, with a complementary two, three, or four virulence gene in the fungus.

Usually, although not always, resistance genes (R) in hosts are dominant, while susceptible ones (those lacking resistance) are recessive (r). In pathogens, non-virulent genes (A) are dominant, and virulent ones (a) are recessive. Therefore, there are four possible combinations for host-pathogen interactions. Three combinations--R-a, r-A, and r-a—cause compatible (susceptible) reactions, and infection is successful. One combination, R-A, results in an incompatible (resistant) reaction, and infection does not occur (Tarr 1972, Isaac 1991). Virulent genes appear in pathogens in response to new resistance genes in hosts. In other words, a new host resistance selects a new virulence in the pathogen. Hosts and pathogens are thus in a continuous state of coevolution (Tarr 1972).

Most plants are resistant to most pathogens: a potential pathogen finds most species outside its range of hosts. Non-host plants are therefore completely immune to infection, even when conditions are optimal for disease development, and even in the presence of abundant inoculum. Many plants do not get sick despite being theoretically susceptible, they can escape due the absent of the parasite or the ecological conditions are inadequate for infection. One example is *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, a destructive fungus found in eastern Asia. Its presence has been recorded in southeastern Mexico, some Caribbean countries, South America, and Africa--but without its virulence (Cummins 1978). Soy in the U.S. is not parasited by *P. pachyrhizi* because the fungus is not found there (Hijwegen 1988). In other words, not all healthy plants are immune, but they can

show apparent resistance. Furthermore, if a plant is susceptible only when young or when blooming, and the pathogen is inactive or absent during that stage, the plant simply will not be infected. Temperature fluctuations and a lack in humidity so extreme as to lead to desiccation, will also affect the development and dispersal of a pathogen. Although some plants can escape, climatic change can cause an epidemic to begin (Isaac 1991).

Much research has been directed at creating resistant plant varieties, and the gene-forgene theory has been corroborated. In 1963, Van de Plank introduced the term vertical resistance to describe a situation in which plants show high levels of resistance to a certain physiological pathogen race but are quite vulnerable to other races of the same organism. Some hosts frequently exhibit a hypersensitive response, usually during early infection; the pathogen is then unable to establish or multiply in the plant's tissue. This is known as resistance to specific races. In horizontal resistance, plants have a general resistance to pathogens; it involves a non-specific race of fungus that is controlled by a large number of genes (polygenic resistance). Horizontal resistance is useful on cropland in order to gradually reduce the spread of a disease.

The main objection to the gene-for-gene theory relating to microevolutive reciprocal change is that it is based on examples from profoundly disturbed agrosystems that are not representative of natural ecosystems; furthermore, it cannot be applied to all agricultural systems (Pirozynski and Hawksworth 1988), and it places little emphasis on species ecology. Since the 80's, substantial data have been obtained on the nature and organization of plant cell walls and the alterations that they suffer when a parasite infects their cells. Through dying techniques, it can be observed how suberin, callose, lignin, and other phenolic compounds are deposited in the plant's cell wall in response to pathogens or abiotic stress (Chamberland 1994).

When a plant has recognized the presence of a particular pathogen through its gene-forgene system, it can enlist a polygenic arsenal of other genes to defend it. A long-term study conducted by Burdon and Thrall (1999) on the dynamics of allele resistance frequencies and non-virulence within and among natural populations of Australian wild linen (*Linum marginale*) and the linen rust *Melampsora lini* suggest that a population with broad geographic structure can be essential to maintaining polymorphisms, which evolve simultaneously in plants and rusts. Gene-for-gene coevolution occurs in natural populations when the interaction between a host and parasite are controlled by genes that are vital to host resistance and when the parasite is non-virulent (Thompson 1999). However, gene-for-gene relationships are only one component of parasite-host coevolution.

2.1.4. Pathogenetic Mechanisms in Plant Parasitic Fungi and Hyperparasites

Alteration caused by a fungus in a host's cell walls is probable a key event in pathogenesis, as it allows pathogens to penetrate cell walls by secreting specific enzymes that degrade them. Several biochemical, histological, and cytochemical studies on the host-fungus interface have revealed that pathogenic fungi produce structures that allow penetration before the plant becomes infected and degrade its cell walls through mechanical pressure and enzymatic activity. Specialized cellular structures for cell wall

penetration are appressoria, haustoria, and microhyphae (Nicole et al. 1994).

Appressoria. For fungi such as rusts, *Colletrotrichum* species, endophytes, and vesiclearbuscular mycorrhyzae, interaction with the host surface results in the formation of appressoria. These are described as formations from which a minute infection peg or germinal tube grows; it is capable of overcoming physical barriers posed by the host, such as cuticular layers, in order to penetrate it. It begins to form when the parasite's germinative tubes have stopped growing. The cell wall, cytoskeletal microtubules, and apical vesicles are probably involved in appressoria differentiation. They are frequently associated with stomatal penetration, although they have also been described penetrating a plant but leaving its surface intact (Isaac 1991). Several factors control the appearance and growth of appressoria, including temperature, plant exudates, and fungal genotype. Surface penetration by appressoria requires signals that can result in close adherence to the host substrate. The extracellular matrix of these structures contains specific proteins that are involved in the recognition and initiation of degradation in plant cell walls. Infection pegs or appressorial hooking begin at the appressorium and proceed to penetrate the host cell wall.

Haustoria. After the contact with the host has been established, the pathogen develops penetration pegs, vesicles and inter- or intracellular mycelium, marking the beginning of host tissue colonization. Haustoria are produced by fungi such as rusts, powdery mildews, downy mildews, and Exobasidiales. Ultrastructural research has distinguished several types of haustoria based on their morphology. In *Phytophthora*, they range from simple elongated shapes to structures that are similar to fingers. *Exobasidium* spp. haustoria are short and lobed, while those of *Cercosporidium* are finely branched. The haustorium wall is involved in recognizing resistant cultivars. Polysaccharides and glycoproteins are present in the haustorium wall and extrahaustorial matrix. A linked-glucose or manose have been found in the extracellular sheath. For example, in *Puccinia graminis* there are β -1,3 glucans, while in *Puccinia sorghi* there are linked β -1,6.

Microhyphae. Fungi may differentiate microhyphae, also called fine hyphae; they can extend for appreciable distances into host walls and cause their breakdown and/or to rupture.

Extracellular sheath. A wide range of fungi that include pathogens, saprophytes, endophytes, and mycorrhizal fungi produce a noticeable extracellular cover of material. It appears on a wide range of organisms, from *Peronospora* and *Sclerospora* to fungi from the major groups, including the obligate parasites (*Cronartium* and *Puccinia*) as well as wood-rotting fungi (*Phanerochaete*, *Rigidoporus*). Extracellular sheath formation occurs during a period of the fungal life cycle in response to certain physiological conditions, regardless of the type of illness that the fungus causes. In most cases, extracellular sheath appears as a fibrillar net, with fibrils arranged either densely or loosely around the hypha. The extracellular sheath adheres to the fungal structure and can establish a close junction between the host's mycelium and cell wall.

Chitin is the second most commonly found biodegradable polymer in nature. Present in almost all fungi, it is the principal component of the fungal wall. Chitinase has the

following general properties: active in slightly acidic pH, optimal high temperature of 30-50 °C, and high degree of stability due to glucosilation. Chitinases are inhibited by copper and mercury salts and competitively by chitobionolactone oxime and allosamidin. Chitinase activity is stimulated by partial proteolysis of microsomal fractions with commercial proteases such as tripsine or partially purified proteases. Chitinase has been implicated in several morphological and physiological processes that occur during the fungal life cycle. Furthermore, it appears to play a role in early stages of the parasite-host interaction in biotrophic and necrotrophic mycoparasitism. Chitinases have been reported in entomopathogenic fungi and arbuscular mycorrhyzae. Chitine degradation involves two steps: 1) chitin microfibrilles are split by endo- or exochitinase, or by both simultaneously. Endochitinases divide chitin up randomly, resulting in light molecular weight soluble oligomeres of GlcNAc such as chitotetraose and chitotriose, the dimere chitobiose being dominant, and 2) the chitibiose is hydrolized into monomers of GlcNAc by β -1, 4 N-acetilglucosaminidase (Manocha and Balasubramanian 1994).

- _
- -

TO ACCESS ALL THE **25 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: <u>http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx</u>

Bibliography

Burdon, J.J. and Thrall, P.H. 1999. Spatial and temporal patterns in coevolving plant and pathogen associations. *American Naturalist* 153, S15-S33. [They emphasize the important of geographic distribution in a spatial and temporal on the evolution of the parasite-host relationship]

Butt, T.M. 2002. Use of entomogenous fungi for the control of insect pests. In: Kempken, F. (Ed.). *The Mycota XI. Agricultural Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: 111-134. [Complete review on the advantages and disadvantages on the use of entomopathogens as another crop protection strategy to control insect pests]

Capinera, J. 2008. *Encyclopedia of Entomology*. Springer Science+Business Media B. V. 4346 pp. [Novel encyclopedia on entomology knowledge which covers ancient and recent research results]

Carrera, M. 1992. Entomofagia humana. *Revista Brasileira de Entomología* 36 (4): 889 – 894. [Review of entomophagy in Brasil and Mexico]

Chamberland, H. 1994. Gold labeling methods for the ultrastructural localization of host wall and pathogen components. In: Petrini, O. y G.B. Ouellette (Eds.). *Host Wall Alterations by Parasitic Fungi*. APS Press. San Paul Minnesota. 1-11. [Tecniques used to observe ultra structure of parasite-host associations]

Cummins, G.B. 1978. *Rust Fungi on Legumes and Composites in North America*. University of Arizona Press. Tucson. 424 pp. [Keys and description of the different rust fungi species]

Degen, B., E. Bandou and H. Caron. 2004. Limited pollen dispersal and biparental inbreeding in *Symphonia globulifera* in French Guiana. *Heredity* 93: 585 – 591. [Mating system and gene flow in this tropical tree is discussed in the context of spatial genetics and demographic structures, flowering

phenology and pollinator composition]

Dick, M.W. 1988. Coevolution in the heterokont fungi (with emphasis on the downy mildews and their angiosperm hosts). In: Pirozinski, K.A. and D.L. Hawksworth (Eds.). *Coevolution of fungi with plants and animals: Introduction and overview*. Academic Press: 31-62. [The author analyzed the importance of climate and metabolism (carbohydrate levels) for the association of downy mildews and different angiosperm hosts]

Dyer, L. A. Dyer, M. S. Singer, J. T. Lill, J. O. Stireman, G. L. Gentry, R. J. Marquis, R. E. Ricklefs, H. F. Greeney, Wagner, D. L., H. C. Morais, I. R. Diniz, T. A. Kursar and P. D. Coley. 2007. Host specificity of Lepidoptera in tropical and temperate forests. *Nature* 448 (9): 696-699. [Large scale sampling of Lepidoptera herbivores in tropical forest results in increased ecological specialization and higher diversity compared to the species richness found in temperate regions]

Elad, Y. and S. Freeman. 2002. Biological control of fungal plant pathogens. In: Kempken, F. (Ed.). *The Mycota XI. Agricultural Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: 93-109. [Revision of our knowledge on fungi biocontrol agents]

Erwin, T. L. 1982. Tropical forests: their richness in Coleoptera and other arthropod species. *Coleopterists Bulletin* 36:74-75. [Classic contribution, the data are used to extrapolate the large insect species richness in the tropics]

Evans, H.C., G. Carrión and F. Ruiz-Belin 1995. Mycobiota of the giant sensitive plant, *Mimosa pigra* sensu lato in the Neotropics. *Mycol. Res.* 99: 420-428. [New fungi species in Neotropics that attacked *Mimosa pigra*]

Evans, H.C. 2002. Biological control of weeds. In: Kempken, F. (Ed.). *The Mycota XI. Agricultural Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: 135-152 [General discussion of pathogenic fungi that function as biological control agents on weeds]

García-Franco, J.G. 1996. *Biología de Bdallophytum bambusorum Liebm. (Rafflesiaceae)*. Tesis Doctoral, Facultad de Ciencias. UNAM, México, D.F. [This is about a parasite species growing on tropical trees]

Grimaldi, D. and M. S. Engel. 2005. *Evolution of Insects*. Cambridge University Press. 758 pp. [A complete single integrative source and latest synthesis of fossil and living insect orders]

Harley, K. L. S. and I. W. Forno. 1992. *Biological Control of Weeds: a handbook for practitioners and students*. CSIRO Division of Entomolology. Inkata Press. Melbourne. Australia. 74 pp. [Introduction to the fundamentals of biological control of weeds]

Harpaz, I. 1973. Early Entomology in the Middle East. In: Smith, R. F., T. E. Mittler and C. N. Smith (Eds.). *History of Entomology*. Annual Reviews Inc. California: 21-36. [Historical evidence about insects in Middle East]

Hawksworth, D.L. and A. Y. Rossman. 1997. Where are all undescribed fungi. *Phytopathology* 87: 888-891 [In this paper they estimate the number of plant parasites species].

Hawksworth, D.L., P.M. Kirk, B.C. Sutton and D.N. Pegler. 1996. *Ainsworth & Bisby's Dictionary of the fungi*. 8a. Ed. CAB International Micological Institute. UK. 616 pp. [Classic dictionary with fungi terms]

Heard, T. A. 1999. The role of stingless bees in crop pollination. *Annual Review of Entomology* 44: 183-206. [It provides information about the importance and effectiveness of bees as crop pollinators]

Heath, M.C. 1987. Evolution of parasitism in the fungi. In: Rayner, A.D.H., C.M. Grasier and D. Moon (Eds.). *Evolutionary biology of the fungi*. Symposium of the British Mycological Society. Cambridge University Press, Nueva York. 149-160. [Analyses of biotrophic and necrotrophic parasites are done on the damage to their respective host]

Hijwegen, T. 1988. Coevolution of flowering plants with pathogenic fungi. In: Pirozinski, K.A. and D.L. Hawksworth (Eds.). *Coevolution of fungi with plants and animals*. Academic Press: 63-77. [Problems of coevolution and "jumps" to disparate hosts and the importance in crop protection are discussed]

Horsfall, J. G. and E. B. Cowling. 1977. *Plant Disease. An advanced treatise. How disease is managed.* Vol. I. Academic Press. New York. 465pp. [Basic concepts in phytopathology are determined]

Horsfall, J. G. and E. B. Cowling. 1978. Plant Disease. An advanced treatise. How disease develops in

populations. Vol. II. Academic Press. New York. 436pp. [Basic concepts on epidemiology of plant pathogens, agriculture practices that supports plant diseases and how exotic parasites becomes an epidemic]

Isaac, S. 1991. *Fungal-Plant Interactions*. Chapman & Hall. London. 436 pp. [Synthesis of fungal physiology, with a revision of the effects of fungal invasion on host plant physiology and biochemistry]

Jeffries, P. y T. W. K. Young. 1994. *Interfungal Parasitic Relationships*. CAB International Mycological Institute. Wallingford. United Kingdom. 296 pp. [A compendium about the interaction between phytoparasites and their relationship with mycoparasites]

Klein, A. M., S. A. Cunningham, M. Bos and I. Steffan-Dewenter. 2008. Advances in pollination ecology from tropical plantation crops. *Ecology* 89 (4): 935-943. [Review the diversity of insect-mediated pollination services which depends on local agroforest and natural habitats]

Konishi, M. and Y. Itô. 1973. Early Entomology in East Asia. In: Smith, R. F., T. E. Mittler and C. N. Smith (Eds.). *History of Entomology*. Annual Reviews Inc. California: 1-20. [Historical evidence on insects in East Asia]

Leppik, E.E. 1972. Evolutionary specialization of rust fungi (Uredinales) on the Leguminosae. *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 9: 135-148. [Emphasize the specificity of rust fungi on Leguminosae]

Lewis, D.H. 1973. Concepts in fungal nutrition and the origin of biotrophy. *Bio. Rev.* 48: 261-278. [Revision of basic terms like symbiosis and parasitism permitted the author the recognition of five groups: obligate saprotrophs, facultative necrotrophs, obligate necrotrophs, facultative biotrophs and obligate biotrophs]

Lonsdale, D. and J.N. Gibbs. 1996. Effects of climate change on fungal diseases of trees. In: Franklandm J.C., N. Magan y G.M. Gadd (Eds.). *Fungi and environmental change*. Cambridge University Press 1-19. [Environment stress affects the saprobious condition of some fungi, they can change to a parasitic condition]

Manocha, M.S. and R. Balasubramanian. 1994. Fungal chitinases: their properties and roles in morphogenesis, mycoparasitism and control of pathogenic fungi. In: Petrini, O. y G.B. Ouellette (Eds.). *Host Wall Alterations by Parasitic Fungi*. APS Press. San Paul Minnesota. 81-90. [Introduction to our understanding of chitinases in different fungi]

Martínez del Pozo, 2005. Avances en el conocimiento de la Biodiversidad a nivel molecular. *Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. (Sec. Biol.)* 100 (1-4): 5-17. [Revision of molecular techniques for analyzing biodiversity in Spanish]

McGavin, G. C. 2001. *Essential Entomology*. Oxford University Press, Oxford 318 pp. [An entomology textbook]

Medeiros Costa-Neto, E. 2002. *Manual de Etnoentomología*. M & T – Manuales y Tesis, Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa, Zaragoza 104 pp. [Ethnoenthomology manual in Spanish]

Mittermeier, R.A., P. Robles-GiL, M. Hoffmann, J. Pilgrim, T. Brooks, C. G. Mittermeier, J. Lamoreux and G. A. B. Da Fonseca (Eds.). 2004. *Hotspots Revisited: Earth's Biologically Richest and Most Endangered Terrestrial Ecoregions*. CEMEX/Agrupación Sierra Madre, Mexico City 392 pp. [Revision on Mittermeier ideas on hotspots regions]

Moreno Sanz, M. 2005. Avances en el conocimiento de la Biodiversidad: Especies. *Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. (Sec. Biol.)* 100 (1-4): 19-30. [Revision on the advances biodiversity knowledge]

Morge, G. 1973. Entomology in the Western World in antiquity and in Medieval Times. In: Smith, R. F., T. E. Mittler and C. N. Smith (Eds.). *History of Entomology*. Annual Reviews Inc. California: 37-80. [Historical evidence on insect entomology in Western World]

Nicole, M., K. Ruel and G. B. Ouellette. 1994. Fine morphology of fungal structures involved in host wall alteration. In: Petrini, O. y G.B. Ouellette (Eds.). *Host Wall Alterations by Parasitic Fungi*. APS Press. San Paul Minnesota. 13-30. [Description of the pathogenic fungi penetrating structures that helps to break the host wall]

Novotny, V., S. E. Miller, J. Hulcr, R. A. I. Drew, Y. Basset, M. Janda, G. P. Setliff, K. Darrow, A. J. A.

Stewart, J. Auga, B. Isua, K. Molem, M. Manumbor, E. Tamtiai, M. Mogia and G. D. Weiblen. 2007. Low beta diversity of herbivorous insects in tropical forests. *Nature* 448 (9): 692-697. [An insect large scale sampling in New Guinea low land tropical forests resulted in a low rate of change in beta diversity on three herbivorous guilds on foliage, wood and fruit]

Pirozynski, K.A. and D.L. Hawksworth. 1988. Coevolution of fungi with plants and animals In: Pirozinski, K.A. and D.L. Hawksworth (Eds.). *Coevolution of fungi with plants and animals: Introduction and overview*. Academic Press: 1-29. [Some symbiosis between fungi and plants and animals are selected to arbitrarily categorized them in a simple convenient vision, but not as a simple association of isolated organisms]

Price, P.W. 1977. General concepts on the evolutionary biology of parasites. *Evolution* 31: 405-420. [He gives a new dimension to parasites as important organisms in the food web]

Ramos-Elorduy, J. 2000. La etnoentomología actual en México en la alimentación humana, en la medicina tradicional y en el reciclaje y alimentación animal. In: *Memorias 35 Congreso Nacional de Entomología, Acapulco, México*. Sociedad Entomológica Mexicana 3-46. [Introduction to Mexican ethnoentomology as a particular field]

Ramos-Elorduy J., E. M. C. Neto, J. Ferreira dos Santos, J. M. Pino Moreno, I. Landero-Torres, S. C. Ángeles Campos y Á. García Pérez. 2005. Estudio comparativo del valor nutritivo de varios Coleoptera comestibles de México y *Pachymerus nucleorum* (Fabricius, 1792) (Bruchidae) de Brasil. *Interciencia* 31 (7): 512-516. [Nutritional values of different comestible beetles from Brazil and Mexico]

Savile, D.B.O. 1970. Autoecious *Puccinia* species attacking Cardueae in North America. *Can. J. Bot.* 48: 1567-1584. [Description of different *Puccinia* species are discussed]

Savile, D.B.O. 1976. Evolution of the rust fungi (Uredinales) as reflected by their ecological problems. *Evolutionay Biology* 9: 137-207. [The importance of the environment is emphasized on the biological cycles of different rust species]

Savile, D.B. O. 1979. Fungi as aids in higher plant classification. *Bot. Rev.* 45: 377-503 [Classic contribution that proposed rust fungi, due their specificity, as an important character for the classification of plants]

Seier, M. K. 1998. Evaluation of two fungal pathogens as classical biological control agents of the invasive weed Mimosa pigra in Australia. Universität Carolo-Wilhelmina. Braunschweig. 200 pp. [Phloeospora mimosae-pigrae and Diabole cubensis biology, life cycle, disease development on Mimosa pigra and research about their specificity]

Smith, R. R., T. E. Mittler and C. N. Smith. 1973. *History of Entomology*. Annual Reviews Inc. California: 37-80. [Integrative history of entomology in different parts of the world, it is a classic]

Snell, W.H. and E. A. Dick. 1971. *A glossary of mycology*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. 181 pp. [Specialized terms for the different fungi groups]

Solomon, S. E., M. Bacci Jr., J. Martins Jr., G. G. Vinha and U. G. Mueller. 2008. Paleodistributions and Comparative Molecular Phylogeography of Leafcutter Ants (*Atta* spp.) Provide New Insight into the Origins of Amazonian Diversity. *PLos ONE* 3 (7): e2738. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002738 [Molecular techniques help us to compare leafcutters ants in an historical and evolutionary perspective]

Stork, N. E. 2007. World of insects. *Nature* 448 (9): 657-658. [Research directions for insect studies in the tropics using insect large scale sampling in the tropics for understanding the distribution of global diversity]

Tarr, S. A. J. 1972. *Principles of plant pathology*. MacMillan Press. London. 632pp. [Basic concepts in this discipline]

Thompson, J. N. 1994. *The Coevolutionary Process*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 376pp. [He reviews the different mechanism factors of coevolution in a geographic sense to explain how different specializations are maintained or extinct at the population level and to continue the changing coevolution between the interacting species]

Thompson, J.N. 1999. Specific hypotheses on the Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution. *American Naturalist* 153: S1-S14. [Emphasize the importance of the geographic mosaic coevolution scale to explain

the present distribution of the insect-hostplant interactions with respect to the phenotype variation differences at the local scale]

Thompson, J. N. 2005. *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 443pp. [This author has synthesized the ways in which coevolution links interacting species in time and space]

Tscharntke, T., C. H. Sekercioglu, T. V. Dietsch and N. S. Sodhi. 2008. Landscape constraints on functional diversity of birds and insects in tropical agroecosystems. *Ecology* 89 (4): 944 – 951. [This contribution acknowledges how tropical functional diversity changes with simplified crop systems]

Ulloa, M. and R. T. Hanlin. 2006. *Nuevo Diccionario Ilustrado de Micología*. The American Phytopathological Society. St. Paul, Minnesota. U.S.A. 672pp. [Fungi dictionary in Spanish]

Villanueva-G, R., D. W. Roubik and W. Colli-Ucán. 2005. Extinction of *Melipona beecheii* and traditional beekeeping in the Yucatán peninsula. *Bee World* 86 (2): 35-41. [Last call for saving a traditional stingless beekeeping in Yucatan]

Ward, M., C. W. Dick, R. Gribel and A. J. Lowe. 2005. To self, or not to self ... A review of outcrossing and pollen-mediated gene flow in neotropical trees. *Heredity* 95: 246 – 254. [Review on neotropical trees pollination systems]

Wilson, E. O. 1999. *The diversity of Life*. W. W. Norton & Co. New York. 424 pp. [Focus our attention to the value of the diversity of life in our planet]

Biographical Sketches

Arturo Bonet is an associate researcher in the Department of Entomology at the Instituto de Ecología A. C., Xalapa, Veracruz, Mexico. For a number of years he has devoted his time to the study of biological interactions (parasitoid-bruchid host–host plant) and the biological control of insect pests. His interest is in the Bruchidae attacking bean pulses and interacting parasitoids. He has promoted native parasitoids for biological control of bruchids in small farmer pulses storage.

Gloria Carrión was born in Mexico, where she received a PhD in Biological Science from the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), specializing in mycoparasitic fungi of *Hemileia vastatrix* (coffee rust). She has taught at the Universidad Veracruzana's Faculty of Biology as Professor of Mycology and Ecology. In 1983, she became a Research Assistant at the Instituto de Investigaciones sobre Recursos Bióticos (INIREB), and in 1989 she joined the Instituto de Ecología A. C. as an Associate Researcher. Dr. Carrión has worked on many different projects focusing on parasitic fungi that affect native Mexican plants, principally Uredinales, with special attention paid to weed control. She has also worked on research involving mycoparasites that attack obligate parasitic fungi, insect parasites, and most recently on isolated fungi of the golden potato nematode (*Globodera rostochiensis*).